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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements.

## DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries <br> Consolidated Balance Sheets (In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

|  | June 30, 2013 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Unaudited) |  |  |  |
|  | Assets |  |  |  |
| Current assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 75,637 | \$ | 64,537 |
| Receivables, net |  | 94,200 |  | 128,610 |
| Prepaid income taxes |  | 2,134 |  | 16,080 |
| Prepaid gift cards |  | 41,357 |  | 50,242 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 22,810 |  | 21,772 |
| Other current assets |  | 4,058 |  | 13,214 |
| Total current assets |  | 240,196 |  | 294,455 |
| Long-term receivables |  | 204,952 |  | 212,269 |
| Property and equipment, net |  | 285,379 |  | 294,375 |
| Goodwill |  | 697,470 |  | 697,470 |
| Other intangible assets, net |  | 800,076 |  | 806,093 |
| Other assets, net |  | 109,369 |  | 110,738 |
| Total assets | \$ | 2,337,442 | \$ | 2,415,400 |

## Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

| Current liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ | 4,720 | \$ | 7,420 |
| Accounts payable |  | 38,694 |  | 30,751 |
| Gift card liability |  | 101,753 |  | 161,689 |
| Accrued employee compensation and benefits |  | 14,824 |  | 22,435 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 13,291 |  | 13,236 |
| Current maturities of capital lease and financing obligations |  | 11,631 |  | 10,878 |
| Other accrued expenses |  | 18,682 |  | 21,351 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 203,595 |  | 267,760 |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities |  | 1,204,106 |  | 1,202,063 |
| Capital lease obligations, less current maturities |  | 118,481 |  | 124,375 |
| Financing obligations, less current maturities |  | 51,971 |  | 52,049 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 347,874 |  | 362,171 |
| Other liabilities |  | 98,893 |  | 98,177 |
| Total liabilities |  | 2,024,920 |  | 2,106,595 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity: |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, shares: 40,000,000 authorized; June 30, 2013-25,346,997 issued, 19,167,937 outstanding; December 31, $2012-25,362,946$ issued, 19,197,899 outstanding |  | 253 |  | 254 |
| Additional paid-in-capital |  | 269,477 |  | 264,342 |
| Retained earnings |  | 328,311 |  | 322,045 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss |  | (160) |  | (152) |
| Treasury stock, at cost; shares: June 30, 2013-6,179,060; December 31, 2012-6,165,047 |  | $(285,359)$ |  | $(277,684)$ |
| Total stockholders' equity |  | 312,522 |  | 308,805 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | 2,337,442 | \$ | 2,415,400 |

See the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (In thousands, except per share amounts)<br>(Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended$\qquad$ June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Segment Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise and restaurant revenues | \$ | 124,153 | \$ | 196,261 | \$ | 252,482 | \$ | 405,555 |
| Rental revenues |  | 30,731 |  | 29,171 |  | 61,734 |  | 61,176 |
| Financing revenues |  | 3,230 |  | 3,959 |  | 7,067 |  | 8,242 |
| Total segment revenues |  | 158,114 |  | 229,391 |  | 321,283 |  | 474,973 |
| Segment Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise and restaurant expenses |  | 42,308 |  | 105,920 |  | 86,784 |  | 217,735 |
| Rental expenses |  | 24,535 |  | 24,301 |  | 48,804 |  | 48,838 |
| Financing expenses |  | 245 |  | 916 |  | 245 |  | 1,571 |
| Total segment expenses |  | 67,088 |  | 131,137 |  | 135,833 |  | 268,144 |
| Gross segment profit |  | 91,026 |  | 98,254 |  | 185,450 |  | 206,829 |
| General and administrative expenses |  | 35,641 |  | 37,239 |  | 69,673 |  | 76,871 |
| Interest expense |  | 24,956 |  | 29,650 |  | 50,251 |  | 59,871 |
| Amortization of intangible assets |  | 3,069 |  | 3,075 |  | 6,140 |  | 6,150 |
| Impairment and closure charges |  | 324 |  | 122 |  | 1,162 |  | 844 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt |  | 16 |  | - |  | 36 |  | 2,611 |
| Debt modification costs |  | - |  | - |  | 1,296 |  | - |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets |  | 64 |  | 741 |  | (254) |  | $(15,992)$ |
| Income before income taxes |  | 26,956 |  | 27,427 |  | 57,146 |  | 76,474 |
| Income tax provision |  | $(10,019)$ |  | $(10,489)$ |  | $(21,970)$ |  | $(28,192)$ |
| Net income |  | 16,937 |  | 16,938 |  | 35,176 |  | 48,282 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjustment to unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 140 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment |  | (4) |  | (3) |  | (8) |  | (1) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ | 16,933 | \$ | 16,935 | \$ | 35,168 | \$ | 48,421 |
| Net income available to common stockholders: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 16,937 | \$ | 16,938 | \$ | 35,176 | \$ | 48,282 |
| Less: Net income allocated to unvested participating restricted stock |  | (298) |  | (388) |  | (627) |  | $(1,169)$ |
| Less: Accretion of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock |  | - |  | (677) |  | - |  | $(1,345)$ |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ | 16,639 | \$ | 15,873 | \$ | 34,549 | \$ | 45,768 |
| Net income available to common stockholders per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.88 | \$ | 0.89 | \$ | 1.82 | \$ | 2.57 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.87 | \$ | 0.88 | \$ | 1.80 | \$ | 2.52 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 18,953 |  | 17,890 |  | 18,932 |  | 17,786 |
| Diluted |  | 19,222 |  | 18,138 |  | 19,207 |  | 18,731 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | - | \$ | 1.50 | \$ | - |
| Dividends paid per common share | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | - | \$ | 1.50 | \$ | - |

See the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (In thousands) <br> (Unaudited)

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 35,176 | \$ | 48,282 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash flows provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 17,636 |  | 20,956 |
| Non-cash interest expense |  | 3,054 |  | 3,045 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt |  | 36 |  | 2,611 |
| Impairment and closure charges |  | 1,177 |  | 571 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | $(15,335)$ |  | $(15,969)$ |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation expense |  | 5,842 |  | 6,573 |
| Tax benefit from stock-based compensation |  | 2,943 |  | 4,653 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation |  | $(1,567)$ |  | $(2,820)$ |
| Gain on disposition of assets |  | (254) |  | $(15,992)$ |
| Other |  | 1,140 |  | 894 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Receivables |  | 34,670 |  | 38,598 |
| Current income tax receivables and payables |  | 8,716 |  | 7,414 |
| Other current assets |  | 16,476 |  | $(2,383)$ |
| Accounts payable |  | 8,089 |  | 69 |
| Accrued employee compensation and benefits |  | $(7,612)$ |  | $(7,084)$ |
| Gift card liability |  | $(59,936)$ |  | $(55,690)$ |
| Other accrued expenses |  | 5,178 |  | 2,628 |
| Cash flows provided by operating activities |  | 55,429 |  | 36,356 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Additions to property and equipment |  | $(2,953)$ |  | $(10,650)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment and assets held for sale |  | - |  | 21,500 |
| Principal receipts from notes, equipment contracts and other long-term receivables |  | 7,063 |  | 6,577 |
| Other |  | 11 |  | (760) |
| Cash flows provided by investing activities |  | 4,121 |  | 16,667 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings under revolving credit facilities |  | - |  | 35,000 |
| Repayments under revolving credit facilities |  | - |  | $(35,000)$ |
| Repayment of long-term debt (including premiums) |  | $(2,400)$ |  | $(76,037)$ |
| Payment of debt modification costs |  | $(1,281)$ |  | - |
| Principal payments on capital lease and financing obligations |  | $(5,018)$ |  | $(6,125)$ |
| Repurchase of DineEquity common stock |  | $(14,504)$ |  | - |
| Dividends paid on common stock |  | $(28,885)$ |  | - |
| Repurchase of restricted stock |  | $(2,841)$ |  | $(1,344)$ |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised |  | 3,348 |  | 3,120 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation |  | 1,567 |  | 2,820 |
| Change in restricted cash |  | 1,564 |  | $(3,777)$ |
| Cash flows used in financing activities |  | $(48,450)$ |  | $(81,343)$ |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents |  | 11,100 |  | $(28,320)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  | 64,537 |  | 60,691 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 75,637 | \$ | 32,371 |
| Supplemental disclosures: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest paid in cash | \$ | 54,451 | \$ | 65,040 |
| Income taxes paid in cash | \$ | 25,469 | \$ | 34,061 |

See the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
$\qquad$

# DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) 

## 1. General

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of DineEquity, Inc. (the "Company") have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The operating results for the six months ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the twelve months ending December 31, 2013.

The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements.

These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

## 2. Basis of Presentation

The Company's fiscal quarters end on the Sunday closest to the last day of each quarter. For convenience, the fiscal quarters of each year are reported as ending on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31. The first and second fiscal quarters of 2013 ended on March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013, respectively; the first and second fiscal quarters of 2012 ended on April 1, 2012 and July 1, 2012, respectively.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries that are consolidated in accordance with U.S. GAAP. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires the Company's management to make assumptions and estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to provisions for doubtful accounts, legal contingencies, income taxes, long-lived assets, goodwill and intangible assets. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## 3. Accounting Policies

## Accounting Standards Adopted in the Current Fiscal Year

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2012-02, Intangibles - Testing Indefinite Lived Intangibles for Impairment ("ASU 2012-02"). ASU 2012-02 allows an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors in determining whether it is necessary to perform a quantitative impairment test on indefinite-lived intangibles. An entity electing to perform a qualitative assessment is no longer required to calculate the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset unless the entity determines, based on the qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired. The adoption of ASU 2012-02 as of January 1, 2013 did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("ASU 2013-02"). The amendments in ASU 2013-02 do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income. However, the amendments require disclosure of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income in their entirety, by component, on the face of the statement of operations or in the notes thereto. Amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income must be cross-referenced to other disclosures that provide additional detail. The adoption of ASU 2013-02 as of January 1, 2013 did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or disclosures because the Company had no material amount of reclassifications.

## DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## Newly Issued Accounting Standards Not Yet Adopted

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-04, Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date ("ASU 2013-04"). The amendments in ASU 2013-04 require an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements as the amount the entity agreed to pay on the basis of the arrangement among its co-obligors plus the amount an entity expects to pay on behalf of co-obligors. ASU 2013-04 also requires an entity to disclose the nature, amount and other information about each obligation or group of similar obligations. The Company will be required to adopt these amendments effective January 1, 2014, and is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, on its consolidated financial statements.

The Company reviewed all other newly issued accounting pronouncements and concluded that they either are not applicable to the Company or are not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements as a result of future adoption.

## 4. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following components:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In millions) |  |  |  |
| Senior Secured Credit Facility, due October 2017, at a variable interest rate of 3.75\% as of June 30, 2013 and $4.25 \%$ as of December 31, 2012 | \$ | 469.6 | \$ | 472.0 |
| Senior Notes due October 2018, at a fixed rate of 9.5\% |  | 760.8 |  | 760.8 |
| Discount |  | (21.6) |  | (23.3) |
| Total long-term debt |  | 1,208.8 |  | 1,209.5 |
| Less current maturities |  | (4.7) |  | (7.4) |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities | \$ | 1,204.1 | \$ | 1,202.1 |

For a description of the respective instruments, refer to Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

## Debt Modification Costs

On February 4, 2013, the Company entered into Amendment No. 2 ("Amendment No. 2") to the Credit Agreement dated October 8, 2010. For a description of Amendment No. 2, refer to Note 23 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. Fees of $\$ 1.3$ million paid to third parties in connection with Amendment No. 2 were included as "Debt modification costs" in the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

## Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized loss on extinguishment of debt as follows:

| Quarter Ended | Instrument Repaid/Retired | Face Amount Repaid/Retired |  | Cash Paid |  | Loss ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (In millions) |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 2013 | Term Loans | \$ | 1.2 | \$ | 1.2 | \$ | 0.0 |
| March 2013 | Term Loans |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | - |
|  | Total 2013 | \$ | 2.4 | \$ | 2.4 | \$ | 0.0 |
| March 2012 | Term Loans | \$ | 70.5 | \$ | 70.5 | \$ | 1.9 |
| March 2012 | Senior Notes |  | 5.0 |  | 5.5 |  | 0.7 |
|  | Total 2012 | \$ | 75.5 | \$ | 76.0 | \$ | 2.6 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Including write-off of the discount and deferred financing costs related to the debt retired.

## Compliance with Covenants and Restrictions

The Company was in compliance with all the covenants and restrictions related to its Senior Secured Credit Facility and Senior Notes as of June 30, 2013.

## DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## 5. Impairment and Closure Charges

The Company assesses tangible long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. The following table summarizes the components of impairment and closure charges for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
|  | (In millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impairment and closure charges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impairment | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.3 |
| Closure charges |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |  | 1.2 |  | 0.5 |
| Total impairment and closure charges | \$ | 0.3 | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | 1.2 | \$ | 0.8 |

Closure charges for the six months ended June 30, 2013 totaled $\$ 1.2$ million, primarily related to an increase in the reserve for certain IHOP restaurants closed in previous periods.

Impairment and closure charges for the six months ended June 30, 2012 totaled $\$ 0.8$ million. The impairment charge related to a parcel of land previously intended for future restaurant development. The closure charges primarily related to several individually insignificant closures of restaurants.

## 6. Income Taxes

The effective tax rate was $38.4 \%$ for the six months ended June 30 , 2013 as compared to $36.9 \%$ for the six months ended June 30 , 2012. The effective tax rate increased due to lower income tax credits, primarily FICA tip and other compensation-related tax credits, as a result of the refranchising of Applebee's company-operated restaurants in 2012.

The total gross unrecognized tax benefit as of June 30,2013 was $\$ 2.0$ million, excluding interest, penalties and related tax benefits. The Company estimates the unrecognized tax benefits may decrease over the upcoming 12 months by an amount up to $\$ 1.3$ million related to settlements with taxing authorities and the lapse of statutes of limitations. For the remaining liability, due to the uncertainties related to these tax matters, the Company is unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate when cash settlement with a taxing authority will occur.

As of June 30 , 2013, the accrued interest and penalties were $\$ 2.4$ million and $\$ 0.1$ million, respectively, excluding any related income tax benefits. As of December 31, 2012, accrued interest and penalties were $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 0.2$ million, respectively, excluding any related income tax benefits. The increase of $\$ 1.0$ million of accrued interest is primarily related to an increase in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of recent audits by taxing authorities. The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties as a component of the income tax provision recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

The Company files federal income tax returns and the Company or one of its subsidiaries files income tax returns in various state and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to federal, state or non-United States tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2008. In the second quarter of 2013, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") issued a Revenue Agent's Report ("RAR") related to its examination of the Company's U.S federal income tax return for the tax years 2008 to 2010. The Company disagrees with a portion of the proposed assessments and has contested them through the IRS administrative appeals procedures. We anticipate the appeals process to continue into 2014. The Company continues to believe that adequate reserves have been provided relating to all matters contained in the tax periods open to examination.

## DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## 7. Stock-Based Compensation

From time to time, the Company has granted nonqualified stock options, restricted stock, cash-settled and stock-settled restricted stock units and performance units to officers, other employees and non-employee directors of the Company. Currently, the Company is authorized to grant nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, cash-settled and stock-settled restricted stock units and performance units to officers, other employees and nonemployee directors under the DineEquity, Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan"). The 2011 Plan was approved by stockholders on May 17, 2011 and permits the issuance of up to $1,500,000$ shares of the Company's common stock. The 2011 Plan will expire in May 2021.

The nonqualified stock options generally vest ratably over a three-year period in one-third increments and have a term of ten years from the grant date. Option exercise prices equal the closing price of the Company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant. Restricted stock and restricted stock units are issued at no cost to the holder and vest over terms determined by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, generally three years from the grant date.

The following table summarizes the components of the Company's stock-based compensation expense included in general and administrative expenses in the consolidated financial statements:

|  | Three Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
|  | (In millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total stock-based compensation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity classified award expense | \$ | 2.7 | \$ | 2.8 | \$ | 5.9 | \$ | 6.6 |
| Liability classified award (credit) expense |  | (0.6) |  | (0.3) |  | (0.1) |  | 0.4 |
| Total pretax compensation expense |  | 2.1 |  | 2.5 |  | 5.8 |  | 7.0 |
| Tax benefit |  | (0.8) |  | (1.0) |  | (2.2) |  | (2.7) |
| Total stock-based compensation expense, net of tax | \$ | 1.3 | \$ | 1.5 | \$ | 3.6 | \$ | 4.3 |

As of June 30, 2013, total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock and restricted stock units of $\$ 11.1$ million and $\$ 6.7$ million related to stock options is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.0 years for restricted stock and restricted stock units and approximately 1.8 years for stock options.

## Equity Classified Awards - Stock Options

The estimated fair values of the stock options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2013 were calculated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. The following summarizes the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model:

| Risk-free interest rate | $0.78 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted average historical volatility | $83.4 \%$ |
| Dividend yield | $4.15 \%$ |
| Expected years until exercise | 4.6 |
| Forfeitures | $11.0 \%$ |
| Weighted average fair value of options granted | $\$ 36.00$ |

Option balances as of June 30, 2013 and activity related to stock options for the six months then ended were as follows:

|  | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in Years) |  | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 958,246 | \$39.67 |  |  |  |
| Granted | 81,328 | 72.28 |  |  |  |
| Exercised | $(104,804)$ | 31.95 |  |  |  |
| Forfeited | $(3,323)$ | 54.07 |  |  |  |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2013 | 931,447 | 43.34 | 6.59 | \$ | 24,061,000 |
| Vested at June 30, 2013 and Expected to Vest | 899,916 | 42.74 | 6.51 | \$ | 23,743,000 |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2013 | 653,600 | \$37.91 | 5.75 | \$ | 20,234,000 |

## DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries <br> Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pretax intrinsic value (the difference between the closing stock price of the Company's common stock on the last trading day of the second quarter of 2013 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on June 30, 2013. The aggregate intrinsic value will change based on the fair market value of the Company's common stock and the number of in-the-money options.

## Equity Classified Awards - Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units

Outstanding balances as of June 30, 2013 and activity related to restricted stock and restricted stock units for the six months then ended were as follows:

|  | Restricted Stock | Weighted <br> Average <br> Grant Date <br> Fair Value | Restricted <br> Stock Units | Weighted <br> Average <br> Grant Date <br> Fair Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 346,563 | \$44.74 | 33,242 | \$41.19 |
| Granted | 86,330 | \$72.18 | 14,878 | \$72.04 |
| Conversion of cash-settled restricted stock units | - | - | 37,184 | \$72.28 |
| Released | $(99,825)$ | \$29.52 | $(39,000)$ | \$54.66 |
| Forfeited | $(20,158)$ | \$52.13 | - | - |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2013 | 312,910 | \$56.63 | 46,304 | \$64.57 |

## Liability Classified Awards - Restricted Stock Units

|  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Grant Date <br> Fair Value |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Vestricted <br> Stock Units |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 37,184 |
| Conversion to stock-settled restricted stock units | $(37,184)$ |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2013 | $\$ 72.28$ |

The Company previously had issued shares of cash-settled restricted stock units to members of the Board of Directors. Originally these instruments were expected to be settled in cash and were recorded as liabilities based on the closing price of the Company's common stock as of each period end. In February 2013, it was determined that, pursuant to the terms of the Plan, these restricted stock units would be settled in shares of common stock and all outstanding restricted stock units were converted to equity classified awards. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, $\$ 0.3$ million and $\$ 0.2$ million, respectively, were included in pretax stock-based compensation expense for the cash-settled restricted stock units. At December 31, 2012, liabilities of $\$ 2.4$ million were included as other accrued expenses in the consolidated balance sheets.

## Liability Classified Awards - Long-Term Incentive Awards

The Company has granted cash long-term incentive awards ("LTIP awards") to certain employees. Annual LTIP awards vest over a three-year period and are determined using a multiplier from $0 \%$ to $200 \%$ of the target award based on the total shareholder return of DineEquity, Inc. common stock compared to the total shareholder returns of a peer group of companies. Though LTIP awards are both denominated and paid only in cash, because the multiplier is based on the price of the Company's common stock, the awards are considered stock-based compensation in accordance with U.S. GAAP and are liability classified awards. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, a credit of $\$ 0.4$ million and an expense of $\$ 0.2$ million, respectively, were included in total stockbased compensation expense related to the LTIP awards. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, liabilities of $\$ 1.9$ million and $\$ 4.5$ million, respectively, were included as accrued employee compensation and benefits in the consolidated balance sheets.

## DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## 8. Segments

The Company has four reporting segments: franchise operations, company restaurant operations, rental operations and financing operations.
As of June 30, 2013, the franchise operations segment consisted of (i) 1,989 restaurants operated by Applebee's franchisees in the United States, one U.S. territory and 16 countries outside the United States; and (ii) 1,582 restaurants operated by IHOP franchisees and area licensees in the United States, two U.S. territories and seven countries outside the United States. Franchise operations revenue consists primarily of franchise royalty revenues, sales of proprietary products to franchisees (primarily pancake and waffle dry mixes for the IHOP restaurants), IHOP franchise advertising fees and the portion of the franchise fees allocated to IHOP and Applebee's intellectual property. Franchise operations expenses include IHOP advertising expense, the cost of IHOP proprietary products and IHOP and Applebee's pre-opening training expenses and other franchise-related costs.

At June 30, 2013, the company restaurant operations segment consisted of 23 Applebee's company-operated restaurants, 10 IHOP company-operated restaurants and one IHOP restaurant reacquired from a franchisee and operated by IHOP on a temporary basis until refranchised, all of which are located in the United States. Company restaurant sales are retail sales at company-operated restaurants. Company restaurant expenses are operating expenses at company-operated restaurants and include food, labor, utilities, rent and other restaurant operating costs.

Rental operations revenue includes revenue from operating leases and interest income from direct financing leases. Rental operations expenses are costs of operating leases and interest expense from capital leases on franchisee-operated restaurants.

Financing operations revenue primarily consists of interest income from the financing of franchise fees and equipment leases and sales of equipment associated with refranchised IHOP restaurants. Financing expenses are primarily the cost of restaurant equipment.

Information on segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
|  | (In millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues from External Customers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise operations | \$ | 108.0 | \$ | 102.5 | \$ | 219.9 | \$ | 210.9 |
| Company restaurants |  | 16.1 |  | 93.8 |  | 32.6 |  | 194.7 |
| Rental operations |  | 30.7 |  | 29.1 |  | 61.7 |  | 61.2 |
| Financing operations |  | 3.3 |  | 4.0 |  | 7.1 |  | 8.2 |
| Total | \$ | 158.1 | \$ | 229.4 | \$ | 321.3 | \$ | 475.0 |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Company restaurants | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | 0.2 | \$ | 0.2 |
| Rental operations |  | 4.0 |  | 4.3 |  | 8.1 |  | 8.6 |
| Corporate |  | 25.0 |  | 29.7 |  | 50.3 |  | 59.9 |
| Total | \$ | 29.1 | \$ | 34.1 | \$ | 58.6 | \$ | 68.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise operations | \$ | 2.7 | \$ | 2.5 | \$ | 5.5 | \$ | 4.9 |
| Company restaurants |  | 0.6 |  | 2.3 |  | 1.1 |  | 4.7 |
| Rental operations |  | 3.3 |  | 3.4 |  | 6.7 |  | 6.9 |
| Corporate |  | 2.2 |  | 2.3 |  | 4.3 |  | 4.5 |
| Total | \$ | 8.8 | \$ | 10.5 | \$ | 17.6 | \$ | 21.0 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise operations | \$ | 81.9 | \$ | 76.2 | \$ | 165.6 | \$ | 156.9 |
| Company restaurants |  | 0.0 |  | 14.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 30.9 |
| Rental operations |  | 6.2 |  | 4.8 |  | 12.9 |  | 12.3 |
| Financing operations |  | 3.0 |  | 3.1 |  | 6.8 |  | 6.7 |
| Corporate |  | (64.1) |  | (70.9) |  | (128.4) |  | (130.3) |
| Total | \$ | 27.0 | \$ | 27.4 | \$ | 57.1 | \$ | 76.5 |

## DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## 9. Net Income per Share

The computation of the Company's basic and diluted net income per share was as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
|  | (In thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numerator for basic and dilutive income per common share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 16,937 | \$ | 16,938 | \$ | 35,176 | \$ | 48,282 |
| Less: Net income allocated to unvested participating restricted stock |  | (298) |  | (388) |  | (627) |  | $(1,169)$ |
| Less: Accretion of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock |  | - |  | (677) |  | - |  | $(1,345)$ |
| Net income available to common stockholders - basic |  | 16,639 |  | 15,873 |  | 34,549 |  | 45,768 |
| Effect of unvested participating restricted stock in two-class calculation |  | 1 |  | 5 |  | 2 |  | 58 |
| Accretion of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,345 |
| Net income available to common stockholders - diluted | \$ | 16,640 | \$ | 15,878 | \$ | 34,551 | \$ | 47,171 |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted average outstanding shares of common stock - basic |  | 18,953 |  | 17,890 |  | 18,932 |  | 17,786 |
| Dilutive effect of: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock options |  | 269 |  | 248 |  | 275 |  | 282 |
| Series B Convertible Preferred Stock |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 663 |
| Weighted average outstanding shares of common stock - diluted |  | 19,222 |  | 18,138 |  | 19,207 |  | 18,731 |
| Net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.88 | \$ | 0.89 | \$ | 1.82 | \$ | 2.57 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.87 | \$ | 0.88 | \$ | 1.80 | \$ | 2.52 |

For the three months ended June 30,2012 , the diluted income per common share was computed excluding 662,500 shares of common stock equivalents from the assumed conversion of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock that were antidilutive. All Series B Convertible Preferred Stock was converted into DineEquity, Inc. common stock in November, 2012.

## 10. Fair Value Measurements

The Company does not have a material amount of financial instruments, non-financial assets or non-financial liabilities that are required under U.S. GAAP to be measured on a recurring basis at fair value. The Company has elected to not use fair value measurement, as provided under U.S. GAAP, for any assets or liabilities for which fair value measurement is not presently required.

The Company believes the fair values of cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and the current portion of long-term debt approximate the carrying amounts due to their short duration.

The fair values of non-current financial liabilities at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, determined based on Level 2 inputs, were as follows:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amount |  | Fair Value |  | Carrying <br> Amount |  | Fair Value |  |
|  | (In millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities | \$ | 1,204.1 | \$ | 1,308.6 | \$ | 1,202.1 | \$ | 1,334.2 |

## DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## 11. Commitments and Contingencies

## Litigation, Claims and Disputes

The Company is subject to various lawsuits, administrative proceedings, audits, and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. Some of these lawsuits purport to be class actions and/or seek substantial damages. The Company is required to record an accrual for litigation loss contingencies that are both probable and reasonably estimable. Legal fees and expenses associated with the defense of all of the Company's litigation are expensed as such fees and expenses are incurred. Management regularly assesses the Company's insurance coverage, analyzes litigation information with the Company's attorneys and evaluates the Company's loss experience in connection with pending legal proceedings. While the Company does not presently believe that any of the legal proceedings to which it is currently a party will ultimately have a material adverse impact on the Company, there can be no assurance that the Company will prevail in all the proceedings the Company is party to, or that the Company will not incur material losses from them.

## Lease Guarantees

In connection with the sale of Applebee's restaurants or previous brands to franchisees and other parties, the Company has, in certain cases, guaranteed or had potential continuing liability for lease payments totaling $\$ 439.6$ million as of June 30,2013 . This amount represents the maximum potential liability for future payments under these leases. These leases have been assigned to the buyers and expire at the end of the respective lease terms, which range from 2013 through 2048. In the event of default, the indemnity and default clauses in the sale or assignment agreements govern the Company's ability to pursue and recover damages incurred. No material liabilities have been recorded as of June 30, 2013.

## Contingencies

In February 2013, an IHOP franchisee which owns and operates 19 restaurants located in the states of Illinois, Wisconsin and Missouri filed for bankruptcy protection. As a result of an order issued by the bankruptcy court in July, 2013, it is probable that two of the 19 restaurants will be returned to the Company. Accordingly, a non-cash charge of $\$ 0.5$ million was recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the six months ended June 30, 2013, against deferred rental revenue associated with the leases for those two restaurants. This franchisee currently is operating the remaining 17 restaurants and continues to make payments to us pursuant to our franchise agreement. However, depending on the resolution of the bankruptcy proceedings with respect to the remaining 17 restaurants, the Company may incur additional non-cash charges of up to $\$ 5.4$ million for deferred rental revenue and equipment leases associated with these restaurants.

In an unrelated matter, in April 2013, an Applebee's franchisee which owned and operated 33 restaurants located in Illinois filed for bankruptcy protection. Pursuant to the bidding procedures approved by the bankruptcy court, 15 of the restaurants were sold in June 2013 to an affiliate of an existing franchisee and operated without interruption during the transition of ownership. The remaining 18 restaurants were closed in June 2013. The Company believes it is entitled to termination payments and other fees in connection with the closure of these restaurants. The amount of these payments is subject to the bankruptcy proceeding and cannot be determined at this time. We do not expect the outcome of the bankruptcy proceeding to have a material adverse impact on our results of operations for the 2013 fiscal year. We have entered into a development agreement with the franchisee that acquired the 15 restaurants to open additional restaurants in Illinois in the future.

## 12. Consolidating Financial Information

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries have guaranteed the Company's obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. The following presents the condensed consolidating financial information separately for: (i) the parent Company, the issuer of the guaranteed obligations; (ii) the guarantor subsidiaries, on a combined basis, as specified in the Credit Agreement; (iii) the non-guarantor subsidiaries, on a combined basis; (iv) consolidating eliminations and reclassifications; and (v) DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

Each guarantor subsidiary is $100 \%$ owned by the Company at the date of each balance sheet presented. The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by each guarantor subsidiary. Each entity in the consolidating financial information follows the same accounting policies as described in the consolidated financial statements.

## DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet <br> June 30, 2013 <br> (In millions ${ }^{(1)}$ )

|  | Parent |  | Combined Guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Combined Non-guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Eliminations and Reclassification |  | Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 20.9 | \$ | 54.0 | \$ | 0.7 | \$ | - | \$ | 75.6 |
| Receivables, net |  | 3.8 |  | 98.2 |  | 0.1 |  | (8.0) |  | 94.2 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets |  | 180.6 |  | 44.5 |  | - |  | (177.6) |  | 47.5 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | (1.0) |  | 23.8 |  | - |  | - |  | 22.8 |
| Intercompany |  | (380.4) |  | 373.8 |  | 6.5 |  | - |  | - |
| Total current assets |  | (176.1) |  | 594.5 |  | 7.4 |  | (185.6) |  | 240.2 |
| Long-term receivables |  | - |  | 205.0 |  | - |  | - |  | 205.0 |
| Property and equipment, net |  | 23.3 |  | 261.2 |  | 0.9 |  | - |  | 285.4 |
| Goodwill |  | - |  | 697.5 |  | - |  | - |  | 697.5 |
| Other intangible assets, net |  | - |  | 800.1 |  | - |  | - |  | 800.1 |
| Other assets, net |  | 17.4 |  | 91.9 |  | - |  | - |  | 109.4 |
| Investment in subsidiaries |  | 1,697.6 |  | - |  | - |  | $(1,697.6)$ |  | - |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,562.2 | \$ | 2,650.1 | \$ | 8.3 | \$ | $(1,883.1)$ | \$ | 2,337.4 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ | 12.7 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (8.0) | \$ | 4.7 |
| Accounts payable |  | 2.1 |  | 36.6 |  | - |  | - |  | 38.7 |
| Accrued employee compensation and benefits |  | 7.5 |  | 7.3 |  | - |  | - |  | 14.8 |
| Gift card liability |  | - |  | 101.8 |  | - |  | - |  | 101.8 |
| Other accrued expenses |  | 16.0 |  | 205.0 |  | 0.1 |  | (177.6) |  | 43.6 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 38.3 |  | 350.7 |  | 0.1 |  | (185.6) |  | 203.6 |
| Long-term debt |  | 1,204.1 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,204.1 |
| Financing obligations |  | - |  | 52.0 |  | - |  | - |  | 52.0 |
| Capital lease obligations |  | - |  | 118.5 |  | - |  | - |  | 118.5 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 1.3 |  | 346.6 |  | - |  | - |  | 347.9 |
| Other liabilities |  | 5.8 |  | 92.3 |  | 0.8 |  | - |  | 98.9 |
| Total liabilities |  | 1,249.5 |  | 960.1 |  | 0.9 |  | (185.6) |  | 2,024.9 |
| Total stockholders' equity |  | 312.7 |  | 1,690.0 |  | 7.4 |  | $(1,697.6)$ |  | 312.5 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | 1,562.2 | \$ | 2,650.1 | \$ | 8.3 | \$ | $(1,883.1)$ | \$ | 2,337.4 |

[^0]
## DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet <br> December 31, 2012 <br> (In millions ${ }^{(1)}$ )

|  | Parent |  | Combined <br> Guarantor <br> Subsidiaries |  | Combined <br> Non-guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Eliminations and Reclassification |  | Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 9.9 | \$ | 54.0 | \$ | 0.6 | \$ | - | \$ | 64.5 |
| Receivables, net |  | 2.8 |  | 133.7 |  | 0.1 |  | (8.0) |  | 128.6 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets |  | 151.3 |  | 64.6 |  | - |  | (136.3) |  | 79.5 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | (3.2) |  | 24.1 |  | 0.8 |  | - |  | 21.8 |
| Intercompany |  | (394.9) |  | 389.0 |  | 6.0 |  | - |  | - |
| Total current assets |  | (234.1) |  | 665.4 |  | 7.5 |  | (144.3) |  | 294.5 |
| Long-term receivables |  | - |  | 212.3 |  | - |  | - |  | 212.3 |
| Property and equipment, net |  | 23.2 |  | 270.2 |  | 0.9 |  | - |  | 294.4 |
| Goodwill |  | - |  | 697.5 |  | - |  | - |  | 697.5 |
| Other intangible assets, net |  | - |  | 806.1 |  | - |  | - |  | 806.1 |
| Other assets, net |  | 18.4 |  | 92.3 |  | - |  | - |  | 110.7 |
| Investment in subsidiaries |  | 1,697.6 |  | - |  | - |  | $(1,697.6)$ |  | - |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,505.1 | \$ | 2,743.8 | \$ | 8.5 | \$ | $(1,841.9)$ | \$ | 2,415.4 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ | 15.4 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (8.0) | \$ | 7.4 |
| Accounts payable |  | 1.4 |  | 29.3 |  | 0.1 |  | - |  | 30.8 |
| Accrued employee compensation and benefits |  | 9.4 |  | 13.0 |  | - |  | - |  | 22.4 |
| Gift card liability |  | - |  | 161.7 |  | - |  | - |  | 161.7 |
| Other accrued expenses |  | (42.5) |  | 223.8 |  | 0.5 |  | (136.3) |  | 45.5 |
| Total current liabilities |  | (16.3) |  | 427.8 |  | 0.6 |  | (144.3) |  | 267.8 |
| Long-term debt |  | 1,202.1 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,202.1 |
| Financing obligations |  | - |  | 52.0 |  | - |  | - |  | 52.0 |
| Capital lease obligations |  | - |  | 124.4 |  | - |  | - |  | 124.4 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 4.7 |  | 357.7 |  | (0.2) |  | - |  | 362.2 |
| Other liabilities |  | 5.6 |  | 91.9 |  | 0.7 |  | - |  | 98.2 |
| Total liabilities |  | 1,196.1 |  | 1,053.8 |  | 1.1 |  | (144.3) |  | 2,106.6 |
| Total stockholders' equity |  | 309.0 |  | 1,690.0 |  | 7.4 |  | $(1,697.6)$ |  | 308.8 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | 1,505.1 | \$ | 2,743.8 | \$ | 8.5 | \$ | $(1,841.9)$ | \$ | 2,415.4 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Supplemental condensed statements presented in millions may not foot/crossfoot due to rounding from Consolidated Statements presented in thousands.
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## Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income and Comprehensive Income For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 (In millions ${ }^{(1)}$ )

|  | Parent |  | Combined <br> Guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Combined <br> Non-guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Eliminations and Reclassification |  | Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise and restaurant revenues | \$ | 0.7 | \$ | 123.1 | \$ | 0.3 | \$ | - | \$ | 124.2 |
| Rental revenues |  | - |  | 30.7 |  | - |  | - |  | 30.7 |
| Financing revenues |  | - |  | 3.3 |  | - |  | - |  | 3.3 |
| Total revenue |  | 0.7 |  | 157.1 |  | 0.3 |  | - |  | 158.1 |
| Franchise and restaurant expenses |  | 0.6 |  | 41.7 |  | - |  | - |  | 42.3 |
| Rental expenses |  | - |  | 24.5 |  | - |  | - |  | 24.5 |
| Financing expenses |  | - |  | 0.2 |  | - |  | - |  | 0.2 |
| General and administrative |  | 9.5 |  | 25.8 |  | 0.3 |  | - |  | 35.6 |
| Interest expense |  | 24.6 |  | 0.3 |  | - |  | - |  | 25.0 |
| Amortization of intangible assets |  | - |  | 3.1 |  | - |  | - |  | 3.1 |
| Impairment and closure |  | - |  | 0.3 |  | - |  | - |  | 0.3 |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets |  | - |  | 0.3 |  | (0.3) |  | - |  | 0.1 |
| Intercompany dividend |  | (37.6) |  | - |  | - |  | 37.6 |  | - |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 3.6 |  | 60.8 |  | 0.2 |  | (37.6) |  | 27.0 |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes |  | 13.3 |  | (23.4) |  | - |  | - |  | (10.0) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | 16.9 | \$ | 37.4 | \$ | 0.2 | \$ | (37.6) | \$ | 16.9 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ | 16.9 | \$ | 37.4 | \$ | 0.2 | \$ | (37.6) | \$ | 16.9 |

## Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income and Comprehensive Income For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 <br> (In millions ${ }^{(1)}$ )

|  | Parent |  | Combined Guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Combined <br> Non-guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Eliminations and Reclassification |  | Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise and restaurant revenues | \$ | 0.6 | \$ | 195.4 | \$ | 0.3 | \$ | - | \$ | 196.3 |
| Rental revenues |  | - |  | 29.1 |  | - |  | - |  | 29.1 |
| Financing revenues |  | - |  | 4.0 |  | - |  | - |  | 4.0 |
| Total revenue |  | 0.6 |  | 228.5 |  | 0.3 |  | - |  | 229.4 |
| Franchise and restaurant expenses |  | 0.6 |  | 105.3 |  | - |  | - |  | 105.9 |
| Rental expenses |  | - |  | 24.3 |  | - |  | - |  | 24.3 |
| Financing expenses |  | - |  | 0.9 |  | - |  | - |  | 0.9 |
| General and administrative |  | 6.1 |  | 30.6 |  | 0.5 |  | - |  | 37.2 |
| Interest expense |  | 27.0 |  | 2.7 |  | - |  | - |  | 29.7 |
| Amortization of intangible assets |  | - |  | 3.1 |  | - |  | - |  | 3.1 |
| Impairment and closure |  | - |  | 0.1 |  | - |  | - |  | 0.1 |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets |  | - |  | 1.2 |  | (0.4) |  | - |  | 0.7 |
| Intercompany dividend |  | (37.0) |  | - |  | - |  | 37.0 |  | - |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 3.9 |  | 60.3 |  | 0.2 |  | (37.0) |  | 27.4 |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes |  | 12.8 |  | (23.3) |  | - |  | - |  | (10.5) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | 16.9 | \$ | 36.9 | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | (37.0) | \$ | 16.9 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ | 16.9 | \$ | 36.9 | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | (37.0) | \$ | 16.9 |

[^1]
## DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income and Comprehensive Income For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 (In millions ${ }^{(1)}$ )

|  | Parent |  | Combined Guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Combined Non-guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Eliminations and Reclassification |  | Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise and restaurant revenues | \$ | 1.4 | \$ | 250.5 | \$ | 0.6 | \$ | - | \$ | 252.5 |
| Rental revenues |  | - |  | 61.7 |  | - |  | - |  | 61.7 |
| Financing revenues |  | - |  | 7.1 |  | - |  | - |  | 7.1 |
| Total revenue |  | 1.4 |  | 319.3 |  | 0.6 |  | - |  | 321.3 |
| Franchise and restaurant expenses |  | 1.5 |  | 85.3 |  | - |  | - |  | 86.8 |
| Rental expenses |  | - |  | 48.8 |  | - |  | - |  | 48.8 |
| Financing expenses |  | - |  | 0.2 |  | - |  | - |  | 0.3 |
| General and administrative |  | 18.7 |  | 50.3 |  | 0.7 |  | - |  | 69.7 |
| Interest expense |  | 49.6 |  | 0.7 |  | - |  | - |  | 50.3 |
| Amortization of intangible assets |  | - |  | 6.1 |  | - |  | - |  | 6.1 |
| Impairment and closure |  | - |  | 1.0 |  | 0.1 |  | - |  | 1.2 |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets |  | - |  | 0.3 |  | (0.5) |  | - |  | (0.3) |
| Debt modification costs |  | 1.3 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1.3 |
| Intercompany dividend |  | (77.4) |  | - |  | - |  | 77.4 |  | - |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 7.8 |  | 126.5 |  | 0.3 |  | (77.4) |  | 57.1 |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes |  | 27.4 |  | (49.4) |  | - |  | - |  | (22.0) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | 35.2 | \$ | 77.1 | \$ | 0.3 | \$ | (77.4) | \$ | 35.2 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ | 35.2 | \$ | 77.1 | \$ | 0.3 | \$ | (77.4) | \$ | 35.2 |

## Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income and Comprehensive Income For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 <br> (In millions ${ }^{(1)}$ )

|  | Parent |  | Combined Guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Combined Non-guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Eliminations and Reclassification |  | Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise and restaurant revenues | \$ | 1.3 | \$ | 403.8 | \$ | 0.5 | \$ | - | \$ | 405.6 |
| Rental revenues |  | - |  | 61.2 |  | - |  | - |  | 61.2 |
| Financing revenues |  | - |  | 8.2 |  | - |  | - |  | 8.2 |
| Total revenue |  | 1.3 |  | 473.2 |  | 0.5 |  | - |  | 475.0 |
| Franchise and restaurant expenses |  | 1.2 |  | 216.6 |  | - |  | - |  | 217.7 |
| Rental expenses |  | - |  | 48.8 |  | - |  | - |  | 48.9 |
| Financing expenses |  | - |  | 1.6 |  | - |  | - |  | 1.5 |
| General and administrative |  | 13.1 |  | 62.7 |  | 1.0 |  | - |  | 76.9 |
| Interest expense |  | 54.4 |  | 5.5 |  | - |  | - |  | 59.9 |
| Amortization of intangible assets |  | - |  | 6.1 |  | - |  | - |  | 6.1 |
| Impairment and closure |  | - |  | 0.5 |  | 0.4 |  | - |  | 0.8 |
| Gain on disposition of assets |  | - |  | (15.2) |  | (0.8) |  | - |  | (16.0) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt |  | 2.6 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2.6 |
| Intercompany dividend |  | (91.1) |  | - |  | - |  | 91.1 |  | - |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 21.1 |  | 146.6 |  | (0.1) |  | (91.1) |  | 76.5 |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes |  | 27.2 |  | (55.3) |  | - |  | - |  | (28.2) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | 48.3 | \$ | 91.3 | \$ | (0.1) | \$ | (91.1) | \$ | 48.3 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ | 48.2 | \$ | 91.4 | \$ | (0.1) | \$ | (91.1) | \$ | 48.4 |

[^2]DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

## (In millions ${ }^{(1)}$ )

|  | Parent |  | Combined Guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Combined Non-guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Eliminations and Reclassification |  | Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ | (62.7) | \$ | 118.2 | \$ | (0.1) | \$ | - | \$ | 55.4 |
| Investing cash flows: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additions to property and equipment |  | (2.4) |  | (0.5) |  | - |  | - |  | (3.0) |
| Principal receipts from long-term receivables |  | - |  | 7.1 |  | - |  | - |  | 7.1 |
| Proceeds from sale of assets |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities |  | (2.4) |  | 6.5 |  | - |  | - |  | 4.1 |
| Financing cash flows: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payment of debt |  | (2.4) |  | (5.0) |  | - |  | - |  | (7.4) |
| Payment of debt modification costs |  | (1.3) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (1.3) |
| Repurchase of common stock |  | (14.5) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (14.5) |
| Dividends paid on common stock |  | (28.9) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (28.9) |
| Restricted cash |  | - |  | 1.6 |  | - |  | - |  | 1.6 |
| Other |  | 1.9 |  | 0.1 |  | - |  | - |  | 2.1 |
| Intercompany transfers |  | 121.2 |  | (121.3) |  | 0.1 |  | - |  | - |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities |  | 76.1 |  | (124.6) |  | 0.1 |  | - |  | (48.4) |
| Net change |  | 11.0 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  | - |  | 11.1 |
| Beginning cash and equivalents |  | 9.9 |  | 54.0 |  | 0.6 |  | - |  | 64.5 |
| Ending cash and equivalents | \$ | 20.9 | \$ | 54.0 | \$ | 0.7 | \$ | - | \$ | 75.6 |

## Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
(In millions ${ }^{(1)}$ )

|  | Parent |  | Combined Guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Combined Non-guarantor Subsidiaries |  | Eliminations and Reclassification |  | Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ | (64.9) | \$ | 101.1 | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | - | \$ | 36.4 |
| Investing cash flows: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additions to property and equipment |  | (3.0) |  | (7.7) |  | - |  | - |  | (10.7) |
| Principal receipts from long-term receivables |  | - |  | 6.6 |  | - |  | - |  | 6.6 |
| Proceeds from sale of assets |  | - |  | 21.5 |  | - |  | - |  | 21.5 |
| Other |  | - |  | (0.8) |  | - |  | - |  | (0.8) |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities |  | (3.0) |  | 19.6 |  | - |  | - |  | 16.7 |
| Financing cash flows: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revolving credit borrowings |  | 35.0 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 35.0 |
| Revolving credit repayments |  | (35.0) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (35.0) |
| Payment of debt |  | (76.0) |  | (6.1) |  | - |  | - |  | (82.2) |
| Restricted cash |  | - |  | (3.8) |  | - |  | - |  | (3.8) |
| Other |  | 3.9 |  | 0.7 |  | - |  | - |  | 4.6 |
| Intercompany transfers |  | 130.1 |  | (130.0) |  | (0.1) |  | - |  | - |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities |  | 58.0 |  | (139.2) |  | (0.1) |  | - |  | (81.4) |
| Net change |  | (9.9) |  | (18.5) |  | 0.1 |  | - |  | (28.3) |
| Beginning cash and equivalents |  | 9.9 |  | 50.3 |  | 0.4 |  | - |  | 60.7 |
| Ending cash and equivalents | \$ | - | \$ | 31.9 | \$ | 0.5 | \$ | - | \$ | 32.4 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Supplemental condensed statements presented in millions may not foot/crossfoot due to rounding from Consolidated Statements presented in thousands.

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

## Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Statements contained in this report may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause actual results to be materially different from those expressed or implied in such statements. You can identify these forward-looking statements by words such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "intend," "plan" and other similar expressions. You should consider our forward-looking statements in light of the risks discussed under the heading "Risk Factors" in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as our consolidated financial statements, related notes, and the other financial information appearing elsewhere in this report and our other filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. The forwardlooking statements contained in this report are made as of the date hereof and the Company assumes no obligation to update or supplement any forwardlooking statements.

You should read the following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this report.

## Overview

The following discussion and analysis provides information we believe is relevant to an assessment and understanding of our consolidated results of operations and financial condition. The discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report and the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Except where the context indicates otherwise, the words "we," "us," "our" and the "Company" refer to DineEquity, Inc., together with its subsidiaries that are consolidated in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP").

Through various subsidiaries we own, franchise and operate two restaurant concepts: Applebee's Neighborhood Grill \& Bar ${ }^{\circledR}$ ("Applebee's ${ }^{\text {®") }}$ ), in the bar and grill segment of the casual dining category of the restaurant industry, and International House of Pancakes ${ }^{\circledR}$ ("IHOP ${ }^{\circledR}$ "), in the family dining category of the restaurant industry. References herein to Applebee's and IHOP restaurants are to these two restaurant concepts, whether operated by franchisees, area licensees or the Company. Over $99 \%$ of our restaurants are franchised. We also have 34 company owned and operated restaurants primarily to test new remodel programs, operating procedures, products, technology, cooking platforms and service models.

Domestically, Applebee's restaurants are located in every state except Hawaii, while IHOP restaurants are located in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. Internationally, Applebee's restaurants are located in one United States territory and 16 foreign countries; IHOP restaurants are located in two United States territories and seven foreign countries. With over 3,600 restaurants combined, we believe we are one of the largest full-service restaurant companies in the world. The June 24, 2013 issue of Nation's Restaurant News recently reported that IHOP and Applebee's were the largest restaurants in their respective categories in terms of United States system-wide sales during 2012. This marks the sixth consecutive year each brand has achieved the number one ranking.

## Capital Allocation Strategy

On February 26, 2013, our Board of Directors approved a capital allocation strategy that incorporates the return of a significant portion of our free cash flow to our stockholders. The Board of Directors also approved a stock repurchase authorization of up to $\$ 100$ million of our common stock. Pursuant to that strategy, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 we have declared and paid two quarterly cash dividends of $\$ 0.75$ per share of our common stock totaling $\$ 28.9$ million. We also have repurchased approximately 200,000 shares of our common stock totaling $\$ 14.5$ million. As of June 30 , 2013 we may repurchase up to an additional $\$ 85.5$ million of common stock under the current authorization.

## Key Performance Indicators

In evaluating the performance of each concept, we consider the key performance indicators to be net franchise restaurant development and the percentage change in domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales. Since we are a $99 \%$ franchised company, expanding the number of franchise restaurants is an important driver of revenue growth. We currently do not plan to open any new Applebee's or IHOP company-operated restaurants. Revenue from our rental and financing operations, legacies from the IHOP business model we operated under prior to 2003, is subject to progressive decline over time as balances are repaid. Growth in the both the number of franchise restaurants and sales at those restaurants will drive franchise revenues in the form of higher royalty revenues, additional franchise fees and, in the case of IHOP restaurants, sales of proprietary pancake and waffle dry mix.

An overview of these performance indicators for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 is as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applebee's | IHOP | Applebee's | IHOP |
| Percentage change in domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Net franchise restaurant development ${ }^{(1)}$ | (19) | 4 | (22) | 12 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Franchise and area license openings, net of closings and refranchisings
For the three months ended June 30, 2013, both brands achieved increases in same-restaurant sales that were sequential improvements from the first quarter of 2013. IHOP's increase of $1.9 \%$ marked the first positive quarter since the fourth quarter of 2010 . Applebee's increase of $1.3 \%$ was the eighth positive quarter of the prior 10 quarters. Results for the six months ended June 30, 2013 for both brands were tempered by the difficult economic environment encountered during the first quarter of 2013, as consumers were adversely impacted by several factors, including the expiration of the $2 \%$ payroll tax holiday, rising fuel prices and a delay in federal tax returns.

Applebee's net restaurant development was adversely impacted by restaurant closures during the second quarter of 2013. In April 2013, an Applebee's franchisee that owned and operated 33 restaurants located in Illinois filed for bankruptcy protection. As a result of those proceedings, 15 of the restaurants were sold in June 2013 to an affiliate of an existing franchisee and operated without interruption during the transition of ownership. The remaining 18 restaurants were closed in June 2013. We have entered into a development agreement with the new franchisee to open additional restaurants in Illinois in the future.

In 2013, we expect Applebee's franchisees to open a total of 40 to 50 new restaurants, the majority of which are expected to be opened domestically. In 2013, we expect IHOP franchisees to open a total of 50 to 60 new restaurants, primarily in the U.S. market. As of June 30, 2013, Applebee's and IHOP franchisees have opened 6 and 22 new restaurants, respectively. Historically, the majority of restaurant openings take place in the second half of the year. Over the past five years, nearly two-thirds of Applebee's new openings and approximately $60 \%$ of IHOP's new openings took place in the last six months of the year. For both brands, the fourth quarter has been the most active in terms of new restaurant openings.

The actual number of openings may differ from both our expectations and development commitments. Historically, the actual number of restaurants developed in a particular year has been less than the total number committed to be developed due to various factors, including economic conditions and franchisee noncompliance with development agreements. The timing of new restaurant openings also may be affected by various factors including weatherrelated and other construction delays, difficulties in obtaining timely regulatory approvals and the impact of currency fluctuations on our international franchisees.

In evaluating the performance of the consolidated enterprise, we consider the key performance indicators to be consolidated cash from operations and consolidated free cash flow (cash from operations, plus receipts from notes, equipment contracts and other long-term receivables, less consolidated capital expenditures, principal payments on capital leases and financing obligations and the mandatory annual repayment of $1 \%$ of the principal balance of our Term Loans). Consolidated cash from operations and consolidated free cash flow for the six months ended June 30, 2013 were $\$ 55.4$ million and $\$ 52.1$ million, respectively.

Additional detail on each of our key performance indicators is presented under the captions "Restaurant Development Activity," "Restaurant Data," and "Liquidity and Capital Resources" that follow.

## Restaurant Development Activity

The following table summarizes Applebee's restaurant development and franchising activity during the three and six months ended June 30 , 2013 and 2012:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
|  | (Unaudited) |  |  |  |
| Applebee's Restaurant Development Activity |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of period | 2,031 | 2,021 | 2,034 | 2,019 |
| New openings: |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise | 4 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Total new openings | 4 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Closings: |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise | (23) | (6) | (28) | (10) |
| Total closings | (23) | (6) | (28) | (10) |
| End of period | 2,012 | 2,018 | 2,012 | 2,018 |
| Summary - end of period |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise | 1,989 | 1,858 | 1,989 | 1,858 |
| Company | 23 | 160 | 23 | 160 |
| Total | 2,012 | 2,018 | 2,012 | 2,018 |
| Restaurant Franchising Activity |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic franchise openings | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| International franchise openings | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Refranchised | - | - | - | 17 |
| Total restaurants franchised | 4 | 3 | 6 | 26 |
| Closings: |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic franchise | (22) | (2) | (25) | (4) |
| International franchise | (1) | (4) | (3) | (6) |
| Total franchise closings | (23) | (6) | (28) | (10) |
| Net franchise restaurant (reductions) additions | (19) | (3) | (22) | 16 |

The following table summarizes IHOP restaurant development and franchising activity during the three and six months ended June 30 , 2013 and 2012 :

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
|  | (Unaudited) |  |  |  |
| IHOP Restaurant Development Activity |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of period | 1,589 | 1,554 | 1,581 | 1,550 |
| New openings: |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise | 8 | 5 | 18 | 15 |
| Area license | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Total new openings | 10 | 6 | 22 | 16 |
| Closings: |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise | (5) | (2) | (9) | (7) |
| Area license | (1) | (1) | (1) | (2) |
| Total closings | (6) | (3) | (10) | (9) |
| End of period | 1,593 | 1,557 | 1,593 | 1,557 |
| Summary - end of period |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise | 1,414 | 1,375 | 1,414 | 1,375 |
| Area license | 168 | 165 | 168 | 165 |
| Company | 11 | 17 | 11 | 17 |
| Total | 1,593 | 1,557 | 1,593 | 1,557 |
| Restaurant Franchising Activity |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic franchise openings | 7 | 5 | 15 | 14 |
| International franchise openings | 1 | - | 3 | 1 |
| Area license openings | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Refranchised | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Total restaurants franchised | 11 | 7 | 23 | 20 |
| Closings: |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic franchise | (5) | (2) | (9) | (7) |
| Area license | (1) | (1) | (1) | (2) |
| Total franchise closings | (6) | (3) | (10) | (9) |
| Reacquired by the Company | - | (6) | - | (6) |
| Net franchise restaurant additions (reductions) | 5 | (2) | 13 | 5 |

## Restaurant Data

The following table sets forth, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the number of "Effective Restaurants" in the Applebee's and IHOP systems and information regarding the percentage change in sales at those restaurants compared to the same periods in the prior year. Sales at restaurants that are owned by franchisees and area licensees are not attributable to the Company. However, we believe that presentation of this information is useful in analyzing our revenues because franchisees and area licensees pay us royalties and advertising fees that are generally based on a percentage of their sales, and, where applicable, rental payments under leases that may be partially based on a percentage of their sales. Management also uses this information to make decisions about future plans for the development of additional restaurants as well as evaluation of current operations.

| Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 2012 |  | 2013 | 2012 |

(Unaudited)

## Applebee's Restaurant Data

Effective Restaurants ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$

| Franchise |  | 2,003 |  | 1,859 |  | 2,005 |  | 1,857 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company |  | 23 |  | 160 |  | 23 |  | 161 |
| Total |  | 2,026 |  | 2,019 |  | 2,028 |  | 2,018 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| System-wide ${ }^{(b)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales percentage change ${ }^{(c)}$ |  | 0.6\% |  | 1.2\% |  | 0.7\% |  | 1.4\% |
| Domestic same-restaurant sales percentage change ${ }^{(d)}$ |  | 1.3\% |  | 0.7\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 1.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise ${ }^{(b)(e)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales percentage change ${ }^{(c)}$ |  | 8.7\% |  | 5.5\% |  | 8.9\% |  | 6.4\% |
| Domestic same-restaurant sales percentage change ${ }^{(d)}$ |  | 1.3\% |  | 0.5\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.8\% |
| Average weekly domestic unit sales (in thousands) | \$ | 47.4 | \$ | 46.9 | \$ | 48.3 | \$ | 48.5 |


| Three Months Ended June 30, <br>  <br> 2013 <br> (Unaudited) |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 |  |  |  |

IHOP Restaurant Data
Effective Restaurants ${ }^{\text {a) }}$

| Franchise |  | 1,410 |  | 1,377 |  | 1,409 |  | 1,375 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area license |  | 167 |  | 164 |  | 167 |  | 164 |
| Company |  | 12 |  | 14 |  | 12 |  | 13 |
| Total |  | 1,589 |  | 1,555 |  | 1,588 |  | 1,552 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| System-wide ${ }^{(b)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales percentage change ${ }^{(c)}$ |  | 4.3\% |  | 1.9 \% |  | 3.3\% |  | 2.4 \% |
| Domestic same-restaurant sales percentage change ${ }^{(d)}$ |  | 1.9\% |  | (1.4)\% |  | 0.7\% |  | (0.9)\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise ${ }^{\left({ }^{\text {b }}\right.}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales percentage change ${ }^{(c)}$ |  | 4.3\% |  | 1.7 \% |  | 3.3\% |  | 2.2 \% |
| Domestic same-restaurant sales percentage change ${ }^{(d)}$ |  | 1.9\% |  | (1.3)\% |  | 0.7\% |  | (0.8)\% |
| Average weekly domestic unit sales (in thousands) | \$ | 34.4 | \$ | 33.8 | \$ | 34.7 | \$ | 34.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area License ${ }^{\text {(b) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales percentage change ${ }^{(c)}$ |  | 4.8\% |  | 3.2 \% |  | 4.5\% |  | 3.3 \% |

(a) Effective Restaurants are the weighted average number of restaurants open in a given fiscal period, adjusted to account for restaurants open for only a portion of the period. Information is presented for all Effective Restaurants in the Applebee's and IHOP systems, which includes restaurants owned by the Company as well as those owned by franchisees and area licensees.
(b) "System-wide" sales are retail sales at Applebee's restaurants operated by franchisees and IHOP restaurants operated by franchisees and area licensees, as reported to the Company, in addition to retail sales at company-operated restaurants. Sales at restaurants that are owned by franchisees and area licensees are not attributable to the Company. Unaudited reported sales for Applebee's domestic franchise restaurants, IHOP franchise restaurants and IHOP area license restaurants for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |
| (In millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 1,144.2 | \$ | 1,042.5 | \$ | 2,335.7 | \$ | 2,154.0 |
| \$ | 630.9 | \$ | 604.8 | \$ | 1,270.2 | \$ | 1,229.8 |
| \$ | 61.3 | \$ | 58.5 | \$ | 126.2 | \$ | 120.8 |

(c) "Sales percentage change" reflects, for each category of restaurants, the percentage change in sales in any given fiscal period compared to the prior fiscal period for all restaurants in that category.
(d) "Domestic same-restaurant sales percentage change" reflects the percentage change in sales, in any given fiscal period, compared to the same weeks in the prior year for domestic restaurants that have been operated throughout both fiscal periods that are being compared and have been open for at least 18 months. Because of new unit openings and restaurant closures, the domestic restaurants open throughout both fiscal periods being compared may be different from period to period. Same-restaurant sales percentage change does not include data on IHOP area license restaurants.
(e) The sales percentage change for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 for Applebee's franchise restaurants was impacted by the refranchising of 154 company-operated restaurants during 2012.

## Significant Known Events, Trends or Uncertainties Impacting or Expected to Impact Comparisons of Reported or Future Results

## Same-restaurant Sales Trends

Applebee's Domestic System-wide Same-restaurant Sales


Applebee's domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales increased $1.3 \%$ for the three months ended June 30,2013 from the same period in 2012, marking the eighth positive quarter of the past ten quarters. The increase resulted from a higher average guest check, partially offset by a decline in guest traffic. For the first six months of 2013, Applebee's domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales were flat compared to 2012 as a higher average guest check was offset by a decline in guest traffic. Same-restaurant sales performance for the first six months of 2013 is not necessarily indicative of results expected for the full year.

## IHOP Domestic System-wide Same-restaurant Sales



IHOP's domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales increased $1.9 \%$ for the three months ended June 30,2013 from the same period in 2012, IHOP's first quarterly increase in same-restaurant sales since the fourth quarter of 2010. The increase resulted from a higher average guest check and an increase in guest traffic. For the first six months of 2013, IHOP's domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales increased $0.7 \%$ compared to 2012 as a higher average guest check was partially offset by a decline in guest traffic. Same-restaurant sales performance for the first six months of 2013 is not necessarily indicative of results expected for the full year.

Revenues from the restaurant industry increased in the second quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2013, which were adversely impacted by the difficult economic environment encountered during the first three months of 2013. The consumer confidence index has risen steadily during 2013 from February to June, although recent speculation as to the timing of changes in Federal monetary policy and seasonally volatile gas prices could impact that trend.

We work to drive same-restaurant sales and traffic by differentiating our brands through innovative and creative advertising; attentive service; a value proposition that resonates with our guests; and by providing a broad array of craveable menu items to entice repeat visits for dining experiences unique to Applebee's and IHOP. Continually refining our menu is an important part of improving the dining experience for our guests. In both January and June of 2013 we introduced new IHOP menus, with a third launch slated for late 2013 . Our menu strategy has three objectives: (i) simplify the ordering process with a new menu layout; (ii) reduce the overall number of menu items over time to lessen complexity; and (iii) introduce new and exciting menu offerings and categories. Additionally, we continued to optimize our advertising and media spend to increase both reach and frequency with the goal of more effectively connecting with our target customers.

Providing our guests a compelling value proposition is an integral part of Applebee's menu strategy. In May, we launched "Fresh Flavors of Summer" starting at $\$ 9.99$ and the new Lunch Combo starting at $\$ 6.99$. In addition, the recent introduction of "Take Two," starting at $\$ 10.99$, offers guests the opportunity to sample any two items from the new Fresh Flavors of Summer selections. The Applebee's remodel program also has been a key component of our strategy to drive same-restaurant sales and traffic and has revitalized and helped to differentiate the brand. During the second quarter of 2013, franchisees remodeled 100 restaurants; over $60 \%$ of the domestic Applebee's system has been remodeled since the program was initiated in the second half of 2010.

## Franchisee Matters

From time to time, our franchisees may experience financial difficulties, including bankruptcy.
In February 2013, an IHOP franchisee which owns and operates 19 restaurants located in the states of Illinois, Wisconsin and Missouri filed for bankruptcy protection. As a result of an order issued by the bankruptcy court in July, 2013, it is probable that two of the 19 restaurants will be returned to the Company. Accordingly, a non-cash charge of $\$ 0.5$ million was recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the six months ended June 30, 2013, against deferred rental revenue associated with the leases for those two restaurants. This franchisee currently is operating the remaining 17 restaurants and continues to make payments to us pursuant to our franchise agreement. However, depending on the resolution of the bankruptcy proceedings with respect to the remaining 17 restaurants, the Company may incur additional non-cash charges of up to $\$ 5.4$ million for deferred rental revenue and equipment leases associated with these restaurants.

In an unrelated matter, in April 2013, an Applebee's franchisee which owned and operated 33 restaurants located in Illinois filed for bankruptcy protection. Pursuant to the bidding procedures approved by the bankruptcy court, 15 of the restaurants were sold in June 2013 to an affiliate of an existing franchisee and operated without interruption during the transition of ownership. The remaining 18 restaurants were closed in June 2013. The Company believes it is entitled to termination payments and other fees in connection with the closure of these restaurants. The amount of these payments is subject to the bankruptcy proceeding and cannot be determined at this time. We do not expect the outcome of the bankruptcy proceeding to have a material adverse impact on our results of operations for the 2013 fiscal year. We have entered into a development agreement with the franchisee that acquired the 15 restaurants to open additional restaurants in Illinois in the future.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Comparison of Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

## SUMMARY

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Favorable(Unfavorable)Variance |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Favorable } \\ \text { (Unfavorable) } \\ \text { Variance } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |  |
|  | (In millions, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | \$ | 158.1 | \$ | 229.4 | \$ | (71.3) | \$ | 321.3 | \$ | 475.0 | \$ | (153.7) |
| Segment profit | \$ | 91.0 | \$ | 98.3 | \$ | (7.2) | \$ | 185.5 | \$ | 206.8 | \$ | (21.4) |
| Segment profit as \% of revenue |  | 57.6\% |  | 42.9\% |  | 14.7\% |  | 57.7\% |  | 43.5\% |  | 14.2 \% |
| General \& administrative expenses |  | 35.6 |  | 37.2 |  | 1.6 |  | 69.7 |  | 76.9 |  | 7.2 |
| Interest expense |  | 25.0 |  | 29.7 |  | 4.7 |  | 50.3 |  | 59.9 |  | 9.6 |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets |  | 0.1 |  | 0.7 |  | 0.6 |  | (0.3) |  | (16.0) |  | (15.7) |
| Other expenses |  | 3.4 |  | 3.2 |  | (0.2) |  | 8.6 |  | 9.6 |  | 1.0 |
| Income tax provision |  | 10.0 |  | 10.5 |  | (0.5) |  | 22.0 |  | 28.2 |  | (6.2) |
| Effective tax rate |  | 37.2\% |  | 38.2\% |  | 1.0\% |  | 38.4\% |  | 36.9\% |  | (1.5)\% |
| Net income | \$ | 16.9 | \$ | 16.9 | \$ | 0.0 | \$ | 35.2 | \$ | 48.3 | \$ | (13.1) |

The completion of our transition to a $99 \%$ franchised company in October 2012 had a significant impact on the comparison of our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30,2013 with the same periods of 2012 . The most significant impact was the decline in revenues and segment profit from the Applebee's company-operated restaurants that were refranchised, partially offset by increased royalty revenues and franchise fees from the refranchised restaurants. Our segment profit as a percentage of revenue increased as the majority of our revenues now come from our higher-margin franchise segment.

The decline in general and administrative ("G\&A") expenses was due to the elimination and realignment of administrative functions associated with company-operated restaurants and to our comprehensive staff reduction initiative implemented in the third quarter of 2012. Interest expense declined, in large part, due to repayment of debt with proceeds from the sale of assets of company-operated restaurants that were refranchised. The reduction of certain income tax credits, primarily FICA tip and other compensation-related tax credits associated with company-operated restaurants, was responsible for the majority of the increase in our effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 2012.

## REVENUE

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Favorable <br> (Unfavorable) Variance |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Favorable (Unfavorable) Variance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | (In millions, except percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise | \$ | 108.0 | \$ | 102.5 | \$ | 5.5 | \$ | 219.9 | \$ | 210.9 | \$ | 9.0 |
| Company |  | 16.1 |  | 93.8 |  | (77.7) |  | 32.6 |  | 194.7 |  | (162.1) |
| Rental |  | 30.7 |  | 29.1 |  | 1.6 |  | 61.7 |  | 61.2 |  | 0.5 |
| Financing |  | 3.3 |  | 4.0 |  | (0.7) |  | 7.1 |  | 8.2 |  | (1.1) |
| Total revenue | \$ | 158.1 | \$ | 229.4 | \$ | (71.3) | \$ | 321.3 | \$ | 475.0 | \$ | (153.7) |

Total revenue decreased $\$ 71.3$ million and $\$ 153.7$ million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30,2013 . The decrease in each period was primarily due to the refranchising of Applebee's company-operated restaurants, partially offset by higher franchise royalty revenues resulting from the increase in the number of Applebee's and IHOP franchise restaurants. More than two-thirds of our revenue now comes from our franchise operations. As franchisees develop more restaurants, company restaurant operations will become less than $10 \%$ of our revenues.

## SEGMENT PROFIT

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Favorable } \\ & \text { (Unfavorable) } \\ & \text { Variance } \end{aligned}$ |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Favorable (Unfavorable) Variance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | (In millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise operations | \$ | 81.9 | \$ | 76.2 | \$ | 5.7 | \$ | 165.6 | \$ | 156.9 | \$ | 8.7 |
| Company restaurant operations |  | 0.0 |  | 14.2 |  | (14.2) |  | 0.2 |  | 30.9 |  | (30.7) |
| Rental operations |  | 6.2 |  | 4.8 |  | 1.4 |  | 12.9 |  | 12.3 |  | 0.6 |
| Financing operations |  | 3.0 |  | 3.1 |  | (0.1) |  | 6.8 |  | 6.7 |  | 0.1 |
| Total | \$ | 91.1 | \$ | 98.3 | \$ | (7.2) | \$ | 185.5 | \$ | 206.8 | \$ | (21.3) |

The decline in segment profit for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the prior year was primarily due to the impact of the refranchising of Applebee's company-operated restaurants on the company restaurant segment. This was partially offset by an increase in the number of Applebee's and IHOP franchise restaurants, a decrease in write-offs of deferred rental revenue associated with franchisee-operated restaurants whose lease agreements were prematurely terminated and a $1.9 \%$ increase in IHOP domestic same-restaurant sales.

The decline in segment profit for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the prior year was primarily due to the impact of the refranchising of Applebee's company-operated restaurants on the company restaurant segment, partially offset by an increase in the number of Applebee's and IHOP franchise restaurants and a decrease in write-offs of deferred rental revenue associated with franchisee-operated restaurants whose lease agreements were prematurely terminated. The majority of our segment profit now comes from our franchise operations. We operate our company restaurants primarily to test new remodel programs, operating procedures, products, technology, cooking platforms and service models and, accordingly, we do not anticipate these restaurants will generate a significant amount of segment profit in the foreseeable future.

## Franchise Operations



[^3]The $\$ 4.0$ million increase in Applebee's franchise revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2013 was primarily attributable to increased royalty revenue resulting from the refranchising of 154 company-operated restaurants in 2012 ( 17 in the first quarter, 98 in the third quarter and 39 in the fourth quarter) and a $1.3 \%$ increase in domestic same-restaurant sales. The $\$ 7.2$ million increase in Applebee's franchise revenue for the six months ended June 30 , 2013 was primarily attributable to increased royalty revenue resulting from the refranchising of 154 company-operated restaurants in 2012 .

The $\$ 0.9$ million increase in IHOP franchise revenue (other than advertising) for the three months ended June 30 , 2013 was due to higher royalty revenues resulting from a $2.4 \%$ increase in Effective Franchise Restaurants and a $1.9 \%$ increase in domestic same-restaurant sales, partially offset by a decrease in sales of pancake and waffle dry mix. The $\$ 0.9$ million increase in IHOP franchise revenue (other than advertising) for the six months ended June 30 , 2013 was due to higher royalty revenues resulting from a $2.5 \%$ increase in Effective Franchise Restaurants and a $0.7 \%$ increase in domestic same-restaurant sales, partially offset by a decrease in sales of pancake and waffle dry mix.

IHOP's franchise expenses are substantially higher than Applebee's due to advertising expenses. Franchise fees designated for IHOP's national advertising fund and local marketing and advertising cooperatives are recognized as revenue and expense of franchise operations. However, Applebee's national advertising fund activity constitutes an agency transaction and therefore is not recognized as franchise revenue and expense. The increases in IHOP advertising revenue and expense for both the three and six months ended June 30,2013 were primarily due to the increase in Effective Franchise Restaurants and the increase in domestic franchise same-restaurant sales. The decreases in IHOP franchise expenses (other than advertising) for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 were primarily due to lower cost of sales pancake and waffle dry mix and lower bad debt expense.

The $\$ 5.7$ million increase in franchise segment profit for the three months ended June 30, 2013 was primarily due to an increase in Applebee's Effective Franchise Restaurants due to the refranchising of company-operated restaurants, an increase in IHOP's Effective Franchise Restaurants due to new restaurant development and a $1.9 \%$ increase in IHOP domestic same-restaurant sales. The $\$ 8.7$ million increase in franchise segment profit for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was primarily due to an increase in Applebee's Effective Franchise Restaurants due to the refranchising of company-operated restaurants, and an increase in IHOP's Effective Franchise Restaurants due to new restaurant development.

## Company Restaurant Operations



[^4]As of June 30, 2013, company restaurant operations comprised 23 Applebee's company-operated restaurants and 10 IHOP company-operated restaurants. We operate these restaurants primarily to test new remodel programs, operating procedures, products, technology, cooking platforms and service models. Additionally, as of June 30, 2013, there was one IHOP restaurant reacquired from a franchisee that was being operated by IHOP on a temporary basis until refranchised. As of June 30, 2012, company restaurant operations comprised 160 Applebee's company-operated restaurants, 10 IHOP company-operated restaurants and seven IHOP restaurants reacquired from franchisees being operated on a temporary basis. The impact of the IHOP company-operated restaurants on all variances between the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and the same periods of 2012 was negligible.

Consolidated company restaurant sales for the three months ended June 30, 2013 decreased $\$ 77.7$ million, of which $\$ 77.3$ million was due to the refranchising of 154 Applebee's company-operated restaurants in 2012. Consolidated company restaurant sales for the six months ended June 30 , 2013 decreased $\$ 162.1$ million, of which $\$ 160.8$ million was due to the refranchising of 154 Applebee's company-operated restaurants in 2012.

The decreases in consolidated company restaurant expenses and company restaurant segment profit for the three and six months ended June 30 , 2013 shown in the table above were due to the refranchising of the 154 Applebee's company-operated restaurants in 2012.

## Rental Operations

|  |  | Three Mo <br> Jun |  |  |  |  |  | Six Mon Jun |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | lion |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental revenues | \$ | 30.7 | \$ | 29.1 | \$ | 1.6 | \$ | 61.7 | \$ | 61. | \$ | 0.5 |
| Rental expenses |  | 24.5 |  | 24.3 |  | (0.2) |  | 48.8 |  | 48.9 |  | 0.1 |
| Rental operations segment profit | \$ | 6.2 | \$ | 4.8 | \$ | 1.4 | \$ | 12.9 | \$ | 12.3 | \$ | 0.6 |
| Segment profit as \% of revenue ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 20.2\% |  | 16.7\% |  |  |  | 20.9\% |  | 20.2 |  |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above

Rental operations relate primarily to IHOP franchise restaurants. Rental income includes revenue from operating leases and interest income from direct financing leases. Rental expenses are costs of prime operating leases and interest expense on prime capital leases on certain franchise restaurants.

Rental revenue increased for the three months ended June 30, 2013 due to a lower write-off of deferred lease revenue associated with franchise restaurants whose lease agreements were prematurely terminated, partially offset by a decline in interest income as direct financing leases are repaid. Rental revenue increased for the six months ended June 30, 2013 due to the lower writeoff of deferred lease revenue associated with franchise restaurants whose lease agreements were prematurely terminated, partially offset by declines in level rent adjustments as leases approach or pass the midpoint of the average lease term and in interest income as direct financing leases are repaid.

The increase in rental segment profit during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was primarily due to a lower write-off of deferred lease revenue associated with franchise restaurants whose lease agreements were prematurely terminated.

## Financing Operations

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | $\qquad$ |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | (In millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financing revenues | \$ | 3.3 | \$ | 4.0 | \$ | (0.7) | \$ | 7.1 | \$ | 8.2 | \$ | (1.1) |
| Financing expenses |  | 0.2 |  | 0.9 |  | 0.6 |  | 0.3 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.2 |
| Financing operations segment profit | \$ | 3.0 | \$ | 3.1 | \$ | (0.1) | \$ | 6.8 | \$ | 6.7 | \$ | 0.1 |
| Segment profit as \% of revenue ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 92.4\% |  | 76.9\% |  |  |  | 96.5\% |  | 80.9\% |  |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above
All of our financing operations relate to IHOP franchise restaurants. The decline in financing revenues during both the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was due to a decrease in refranchising activity related to IHOP restaurants reacquired from franchisees and to a decrease in interest revenue because of the progressive decline in note balances as a result of repayments.

The decline in financing expenses during both the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was due to a decrease in refranchising activity related to IHOP restaurants reacquired from franchisees. Segment profit was essentially unchanged in both comparative periods as the decline in interest in 2013 was offset by lower losses on IHOP refranchising activity.

## OTHER EXPENSE AND INCOME COMPONENTS

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Favorable } \\ \text { (Unfavorable) } \\ \text { Variance } \end{gathered}$ |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Favorable(Unfavorable) Variance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | (In millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General and administrative expenses | \$ | 35.6 | \$ | 37.2 | \$ | 1.6 | \$ | 69.7 | \$ | 76.9 | \$ | 7.2 |
| Interest expense |  | 25.0 |  | 29.7 |  | 4.7 |  | 50.3 |  | 59.9 |  | 9.6 |
| Amortization of intangible assets |  | 3.1 |  | 3.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 6.1 |  | 6.1 |  | 0.0 |
| Impairment and closure charges |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |  | (0.2) |  | 1.2 |  | 0.8 |  | (0.4) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt |  | 0.0 |  | - |  | 0.0 |  | - |  | 2.6 |  | 2.6 |
| Debt modification costs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1.3 |  | - |  | (1.3) |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets |  | 0.1 |  | 0.7 |  | 0.6 |  | (0.3) |  | (16.0) |  | (15.7) |
| Provision for income taxes |  | 10.0 |  | 10.5 |  | 0.5 |  | 22.0 |  | 28.2 |  | 6.2 |

## General and Administrative Expenses

G\&A expenses decreased by $\$ 1.6$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period of the prior year. Compensation costs were lower by approximately $\$ 2.9$ million, primarily due to: (i) lower salaries and benefits resulting from the comprehensive restructuring initiatives announced in the third quarter of 2012 and from the refranchising of Applebee's company-operated restaurants; and (ii) lower stock-based compensation costs. The lower compensation costs were partially offset by higher costs for professional services and consumer research.

G\&A expenses decreased by $\$ 7.2$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2013, compared to the same period of the prior year. Compensation costs were lower by approximately $\$ 7.7$ million, primarily due to: (i) lower salaries and benefits resulting from the restructuring initiatives announced in the third quarter of 2012 and from the refranchising of Applebee's company-operated restaurants; (ii) lower severance charges; (iii) lower stock-based compensation costs; and (iv) lower bonus expenses. The lower compensation costs were partially offset by higher costs for professional services and consumer research.

## Interest Expense




Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2013 decreased by $\$ 4.7$ million compared to the same period of the prior year due to a reduction of outstanding debt balances. Average interest-bearing debt outstanding (our Term Loans, Senior Notes and financing obligations) during the three months ended June 30, 2013 was approximately $\$ 250$ million lower than the same period of the prior year.

Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 decreased by $\$ 9.6$ million compared to the same period of the prior year primarily due to a reduction of outstanding debt balances. Average interest-bearing debt outstanding (our Term Loans, Senior Notes and financing obligations) during the six months ended June 30, 2013 was approximately $\$ 270$ million lower than the same period of the prior year. Additionally, the variable interest rate on our Term Loans declined 50 basis points from $4.25 \%$ to $3.75 \%$ as a result of a debt modification in February 2013 and the stability in LIBOR interest rates (see "Liquidity and Capital Resources - February 2013 Amendment to Credit Agreement").

## Impairment and Closure Charges

Closure charges were $\$ 0.3$ million and $\$ 0.1$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There were no individually significant transactions in either period. There were no impairment charges in either period.

Closure charges were $\$ 1.2$ million and $\$ 0.5$ million for the six months ended June 30,2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in 2013 related to an adjustment to the reserve for certain IHOP restaurants closed in previous periods. There were no impairment charges in 2013; there was a $\$ 0.3$ million impairment charge in 2012 related to one parcel of land previously intended for development.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, we performed our quarterly assessment of whether events or changes in circumstances have occurred that potentially indicate the carrying value of tangible long-lived assets may not be recoverable. No significant impairments were noted in performing that assessment. We also considered whether there were any indicators of potential impairment to our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets that primarily consist of our trade name. No such indicators were noted.

## Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized the following loss on extinguishment of debt:

| Quarter Ended | Face Amount Repaid/Retired |  | Cash Paid |  | Loss ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In millions) |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 2013 | \$ | 1.2 | \$ | 1.2 | \$ | 0.0 |
| March 2013 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 0.0 |
| Total six months 2013 | \$ | 2.4 | \$ | 2.4 | \$ | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 2012 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| March 2012 |  | 75.5 |  | 76.0 |  | 2.6 |
| Total six months 2012 | \$ | 75.5 | \$ | 76.0 | \$ | 2.6 |

[^5]Any write-off of the discount and deferred financing costs is proportional to the amount of debt retired. As the amount of debt retired in the first two quarters of 2013 was substantially less than the debt retired in first quarter of 2012, the loss on debt extinguishment was substantially smaller, as well.

## Debt Modification Costs

On February 4, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 2 ("Amendment No. 2") to the Credit Agreement dated October 8, 2010. The key provisions of Amendment No. 2 are discussed under "Liquidity and Capital Resources - February 2013 Amendment to Credit Agreement." Fees paid to third parties of $\$ 1.3$ million in connection with Amendment No. 2 were included as "Debt modification costs" in the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

## Loss (Gain) on Disposition of Assets

We recognized losses on disposition of assets of $\$ 0.1$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There were no individually significant dispositions in either period.

We recognized gains on disposition of assets of $\$ 0.3$ million and $\$ 16.0$ million for the six months ended June 30,2013 and 2012, respectively. There were no individually significant dispositions in 2013. The gain in 2012 was primarily due to the refranchising and sale of related restaurant assets of 17 Applebee's company-operated restaurants located in a six-state market area geographically centered around Memphis, Tennessee.

With the completion in October 2012 of our strategy to refranchise the substantial majority of Applebee's company-operated restaurants, we do not expect significant gains or losses on dispositions of company-operated restaurants for the foreseeable future.

## Provision for Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate was $37.2 \%$ for the three months ended June 30 , 2013 compared to $38.2 \%$ for the three months ended June 30 , 2012. The effective tax rate in the prior year was higher primarily due to an increase in unrecognized tax benefits and certain adjustments related to state deferred taxes.

Our effective tax rate was $38.4 \%$ for the six months ended June 30 , 2013 compared to $36.9 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The effective tax rate increased in 2013 due to lower income tax credits, primarily FICA tip and other compensation-related tax credits that decreased due to the refranchising of Applebee's company-operated restaurants.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

## Credit Facilities

We have a $\$ 75.0$ million revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Facility") under our Credit Agreement. During the first six months of 2013, we did not utilize the Revolving Facility. Our available borrowing capacity under the Revolving Facility is reduced by outstanding letters of credit, which totaled $\$ 10.9$ million at June 30, 2013.

Based on our current level of operations, we believe that our cash flow from operations, available cash on hand and available borrowing capacity under our Revolving Facility will be adequate to meet our investing and financing cash needs over the next twelve months.

## Debt Covenants

Pursuant to our Credit Agreement, we are required to comply with a maximum consolidated leverage ratio and a minimum consolidated cash interest coverage ratio. Our current maximum consolidated leverage ratio of total debt (net of unrestricted cash not to exceed $\$ 75$ million) to adjusted EBITDA is 7.0:1. Our current minimum ratio of adjusted EBITDA to consolidated cash interest is $1.75: 1$. Compliance with each of these ratios is required quarterly, calculated on a trailing four-quarter basis. The ratio thresholds become more rigorous over time. The maximum consolidated leverage ratio, which began at 7.5:1, declines in annual 25-basis-point decrements beginning with the first quarter of 2012 to $6.5: 1$ by the first quarter of 2015, then to 6.0:1 for the first quarter of 2016 until the Credit Agreement expires in October 2017. The minimum consolidated cash interest coverage ratio began at 1.5:1, increased to 1.75:1 beginning with the first quarter of 2013 and will increase to $2.0: 1$ beginning with the first quarter of 2016 and will remain at that level until the Credit Agreement expires in October 2017. There are no financial maintenance covenants associated with our Senior Notes due October 30, 2018 (the "Senior Notes").

For the trailing four quarters ended June 30,2013 , our consolidated leverage ratio was $4.8: 1$. This compares with a ratio of 5.3:1 at June 30,2012 and 4.6:1 at December 31, 2012. The consolidated leverage ratio decreased from June 30, 2012 primarily due to the repayment of debt with proceeds from asset sales related to refranchising transactions in the second and third quarters of 2012. The consolidated leverage ratio increased from December 31, 2012 primarily due to a decrease in EBITDA associated with company-operated restaurants that were refranchised in 2012.

For the trailing four quarters ended June 30, 2013, our consolidated cash interest coverage ratio was 2.5:1, unchanged from the ratio at December 31, 2012 and slightly higher than the ratio of $2.4: 1$ at June 30 , 2012. See Exhibit 12.1 for calculation of our consolidated leverage and consolidated cash interest coverage ratios at June 30, 2013.

The adjusted EBITDA used in calculating these ratios is considered to be a non-U.S. GAAP measure. The reconciliation between our income before income taxes, as determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and adjusted EBITDA used for covenant compliance purposes is as follows:

Trailing Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2013

|  | (In thousands) |
| :--- | ---: |
| U.S. GAAP income before income taxes | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Interest charges | 175,595 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 121,721 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,979 |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation | 36,218 |
| Impairment and closure charges | 10,711 |
| Other | 4,536 |
| Gain on sale of assets | 15,542 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | $(86,859)$ |

We believe this non-U.S. GAAP measure is useful in evaluating our results of operations in reference to compliance with the debt covenants discussed above. This non-U.S. GAAP measure is not defined in the same manner by all companies and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. Non-U.S. GAAP measures should be considered in addition to, and not as a substitute for, the U.S. GAAP information contained within our financial statements.

## February 2013 Amendment to Credit Agreement

On February 4, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 2 ("Amendment No. 2") to the Credit Agreement. Pursuant to Amendment No. 2, the interest rate margin for term loans under the Credit Agreement ("Term Loans") was reduced from $2.00 \%$ to $1.75 \%$ for Base Rate-denominated loans and from $3.00 \%$ to $2.75 \%$ for LIBOR-based loans. The interest rate margin for loans under the Revolving Facility ("Revolving Loans") was reduced from 3.50\% to $1.75 \%$ for Base Rate-denominated loans and from $4.50 \%$ to $2.75 \%$ for LIBOR-based loans. The interest rate floors used to determine the Base Rate and LIBOR reference rates for Term Loans were reduced from $2.25 \%$ to $2.00 \%$ for Base Rate-denominated Term Loans and from $1.25 \%$ to $1.00 \%$ for LIBOR-based Term Loans. The interest rate floors for Revolving Loans were eliminated. The commitment fee for the unused portion of the Revolving Facility was reduced from $0.75 \%$ to $0.50 \%$ and, if the consolidated leverage ratio is lower than $4.75: 1$, from $0.50 \%$ to $0.375 \%$. Based on LIBOR rates in effect through June 30, 2013, Amendment No. 2 lowered the interest rate on our LIBOR-based Term Loans from $4.25 \%$ to $3.75 \%$.

In addition, Amendment No. 2 established the following thresholds for mandatory repayments of Term Loans: $50 \%$ of "Excess Cash Flow" (as defined in the Credit Agreement) if our consolidated leverage ratio is $5.75: 1$ or greater; $25 \%$ of Excess Cash Flow if our consolidated leverage ratio is less than 5.75:1 and greater than or equal to $5.25: 1$; and $0 \%$ of Excess Cash Flow if our consolidated leverage ratio is less than 5.25:1. Amendment No. 2 also revised the definition of "Permitted Amount" so that it is now measured on a quarterly basis for purposes of computing the permitted amount of restricted payments, which includes payment of dividends on and repurchases of our common stock. Finally, Amendment No. 2 revised the definition of Excess Cash Flow to eliminate the deduction for any extraordinary receipts or disposition proceeds. All other material provisions, including the maturity date of the Credit Agreement and covenants under the Credit Agreement, remain unchanged.

Concurrent with Amendment No. 2, on February 4, 2013, we borrowed $\$ 472.0$ million under our Term Facility, retiring the same amount of thenoutstanding borrowings under Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Agreement. Pursuant to Amendment No. 2, our minimum mandatory repayment of $1 \%$ per year is now based on this balance of $\$ 472.0$ million, as compared to the previous outstanding balance of $\$ 742.0$ million borrowed concurrent with Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Agreement.

## Restricted Payments

The Credit Agreement contains covenants considered customary for similar types of facilities that limit certain permitted restricted payments, including those related to dividends on and repurchases of our common stock. Such restricted payments are limited to a cumulative amount comprised of (i) a general restricted payments allowance of $\$ 35.0$ million, plus (ii) $50 \%$ of Excess Cash Flow for each fiscal quarter in which the consolidated leverage ratio is greater than $5.75: 1$; (iii) $75 \%$ of Excess Cash Flow for each fiscal quarter if the consolidated leverage ratio is less than $5.75: 1$ and greater than or equal to $5.25: 1$; (iv) $100 \%$ of Excess Cash Flow for each fiscal quarter in which the consolidated leverage ratio is less than $5.25: 1$; and (v) proceeds from the exercise of options to purchase our common stock, less any amounts paid as dividends or to repurchase our common stock. We made restricted payments of $\$ 43.5$ million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, comprised of cash dividends on our common stock of $\$ 28.9$ million and repurchases of common stock of $\$ 14.5$ million. Our permitted amount of future restricted payments under the Credit Agreement, calculated as of June 30, 2013, was approximately $\$ 110$ million.

The Indenture under which our Senior Notes were issued also contains a limitation on restricted payments that is calculated on an annual basis. The permitted amount of future restricted payments under the Indenture, calculated as of December 31, 2012, was approximately $\$ 140$ million.

Our Senior Notes, Term Loans and Revolving Loans are also subject to affirmative and negative covenants considered customary for similar types of facilities, including, but not limited to, covenants with respect to incremental indebtedness, liens, investments, affiliate transactions, and capital expenditures. These covenants are subject to a number of important limitations, qualifications and exceptions. Certain of these covenants will not be applicable to the Senior Notes during any time that the Senior Notes maintain investment grade ratings.

## Potential Refinancing of Indebtedness

Our Credit Agreement expires in October 2017 and our Senior Notes are due in October 2018. We continually review all available options to efficiently manage our debt portfolio in light of, among other things, prevailing interest rates, the current and forecast economic climate and our overall business strategy. We may seek to refinance some or all of our indebtedness prior to the required repayment dates. In the event the Senior Notes are repaid prior to October 2018, we may be required to make certain make-whole payments. These make-whole payments, should they be required, will be determined in accordance with the terms of the Indenture under which the Senior Notes were issued.

## Cash Flows

In summary, our cash flows were as follows:

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Variance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | (In millions) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ | 55.4 | \$ | 36.4 | \$ | 19.0 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities |  | 4.1 |  | 16.7 |  | (12.6) |
| Net cash used in financing activities |  | (48.4) |  | (81.4) |  | 33.0 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 11.1 | \$ | (28.3) | \$ | 39.4 |

## Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities increased $\$ 19.0$ million for the six months ended June 30,2013 compared to the six months ended June $30,2012$. The main reason for the increase in cash from operations is a favorable change in net working capital. Net changes in working capital provided cash of $\$ 5.6$ million in the first six months of 2013 compared to $\$ 16.4$ million of cash used in the first six months of 2012 , a favorable change of $\$ 22.0$ million. This change was due primarily to the timing of prepaid rent and gift card receivables and payables.

For the first six months of 2013, our net income plus the non-cash reconciling items shown in statements of cash flows (primarily depreciation, gains on asset sales and deferred taxes) was $\$ 3.2$ million lower than in 2012. The lower segment profit in 2013 that resulted from the refranchising of Applebee's company-operated restaurants was substantially offset by lower G\&A expenses and lower interest costs. Cash payments for interest declined $\$ 10.6$ million compared to 2012 primarily due to lower debt balances. Cash payments for income taxes declined $\$ 8.6$ million primarily due to lower gains on asset dispositions, partially offset by lower income tax credits, primarily FICA tip and other compensation-related tax credits, that decreased due to the refranchising of Applebee's company-operated restaurants.

## Investing Activities

Net cash provided by investing activities of $\$ 4.1$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was primarily attributable to $\$ 7.1$ million in principal receipts from notes, equipment contracts and other long-term receivables, partially offset by $\$ 3.0$ million in capital expenditures. Capital expenditures are expected to range between approximately $\$ 8$ million and $\$ 10$ million for fiscal 2013.

## Financing Activities

Financing activities used net cash of $\$ 48.4$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. Cash used in financing activities primarily consisted of cash dividends on common stock totaling $\$ 28.9$ million, repurchases of our common stock totaling $\$ 14.5$ million, repayments of capital lease, financing obligations and long-term debt of $\$ 7.4$ million and a payment of $\$ 1.3$ million for costs associated with Amendment No. 2. Cash provided by financing activities primarily consisted of a net inflow of $\$ 2.1$ million related to equity awards and a decrease in marketing fund restricted cash of $\$ 1.6$ million.

## Free Cash Flow

We define "free cash flow" for a given period as cash provided by operating activities, plus receipts from notes, equipment contracts and other long-term receivables (collectively, "long-term receivables"), less additions to property and equipment, principal payments on capital lease and financing obligations and the mandatory annual repayment of $1 \%$ of the principal balance of our Term Loans. We believe this information is helpful to investors to determine our cash available for general corporate purposes and for the return of cash to shareholders pursuant to our capital allocation strategy.

Free cash flow is considered to be a non-U.S. GAAP measure. Reconciliation of the cash provided by operating activities to free cash flow is as follows:

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Variance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | (In millions) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash flows provided by operating activities | \$ | 55.4 | \$ | 36.4 | \$ | 19.0 |
| Principal receipts from long-term receivables |  | 7.1 |  | 6.6 |  | 0.5 |
| Additions to property and equipment |  | (3.0) |  | (10.7) |  | 7.7 |
| Principal payments on capital lease and financing obligations |  | (5.0) |  | (6.1) |  | 1.1 |
| Mandatory 1\% repayment of principal balance of Term Loans |  | (2.4) |  | (3.7) |  | 1.3 |
| Free cash flow | \$ | 52.1 | \$ | 22.5 | \$ | 29.6 |

This non-U.S. GAAP measure is not defined in the same manner by all companies and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. Non-U.S. GAAP measures should be considered in addition to, and not as a substitute for, the U.S. GAAP information contained within our financial statements.

Pursuant to our Capital Allocation Strategy adopted in February 2013, we returned $\$ 43.4$ million of free cash flow to shareholders in the form of cash dividends on our common stock totaling $\$ 28.9$ million and repurchases of our common stock totaling $\$ 14.5$ million.

## Dividends

The Board of Directors approved payment of a second quarter cash dividend of $\$ 0.75$ per share of our common stock, paid at the close of business on June 28, 2013 to the stockholders of record as of the close of business on June 14, 2013.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2013, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4) of SEC Regulation S-K.

## Contractual Obligations and Commitments

There were no material changes to the contractual obligations table as disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires we make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of net revenues and expenses in the reporting period. We base our estimates and assumptions on current facts, historical experience and various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the accrual of costs and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. We continually review the estimates and underlying assumptions to ensure they are appropriate for the circumstances. Accounting assumptions and estimates are inherently uncertain and actual results may differ materially from our estimates.

A summary of our critical accounting estimates is included in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. During the first six months of 2013, there were no significant changes in our estimates and critical accounting policies.

See Note 3, "Accounting Policies," in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of recently adopted accounting standards and newly issued accounting standards.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

There were no material changes from the information contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K as of December 31, 2012.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

## Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

## Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

We are subject to various lawsuits, administrative proceedings, audits, and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. Some of these lawsuits purport to be class actions and/or seek substantial damages. We are required to record an accrual for litigation loss contingencies that are both probable and reasonably estimable. Legal fees and expenses associated with the defense of all of our litigation are expensed as such fees and expenses are incurred. Management regularly assesses our insurance deductibles, analyzes litigation information with our attorneys and evaluates our loss experience in connection with pending legal proceedings. While we do not presently believe that any of the legal proceedings to which we are currently a party will ultimately have a material adverse impact on us, there can be no assurance that we will prevail in all the proceedings we are party to, or that we will not incur material losses from them.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There were no material changes from the risk factors set forth under Item 1A of Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Company

| Period | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share |  | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs (b) |  | e dollar value of at may yet be ed under the programs (c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 1, 2013 - April 28, $2013{ }^{\text {(a) }}$ | 242 | \$ | 69.36 | - | \$ | 100,000,000 |
| April 29, 2013 - May 26, $2013{ }^{(\text {b })}$ | 80,239 | \$ | 71.85 | 79,643 | \$ | 94,777,032 |
| May 27, 2013 - June 30, $2013{ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | 123,730 | \$ | 72.52 | 121,064 | \$ | 85,496,112 |
| Total | 204,211 | \$ | 72.26 | 200,707 | \$ | 85,496,112 |

${ }^{(a)}$ These amounts represent shares owned and tendered by employees to satisfy tax withholding obligations on the vesting of restricted stock awards.
${ }^{(b)}$ These amounts include shares owned and tendered by employees to satisfy tax withholding obligations on the vesting of restricted stock awards totaling 596 shares at an average price of $\$ 70.99$ during the month ended May 26, 2013 and 2,666 shares at an average price of $\$ 72.15$ during the month ended June $30,2013$.
(c) On February 26, 2013, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase authorization of up to $\$ 100$ million of our common stock, replacing the previously announced $\$ 45$ million authorization. Repurchases are subject to prevailing market prices and may take place in open market transactions and in privately negotiated transactions, based on business, market, applicable legal requirements and other considerations. The program does not require the repurchase of a specific number of shares and may be terminated at any time.

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

## Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not Applicable.

## Item 5. Other Information.

None.

## Item 6. Exhibits.

3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of DineEquity, Inc. (Exhibit 99.3 to DineEquity, Inc.'s Report on Form 8-K filed December 18, 2012 is incorporated herein by reference).
3.2 Amended Bylaws of DineEquity, Inc. (Exhibit 3.2 to DineEquity, Inc.'s Report on Form 8-K filed June 2, 2008 is incorporated herein by reference).
4.1 First Supplemental Indenture, dated July 2, 2013, by and between DineEquity, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee*
12.1 Computation of Consolidated Leverage Ratio and Cash Interest Coverage Ratio for the trailing twelve months ended June 30, 2013.*
31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the SarbanesOxley Act of 2002.*
31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the SarbanesOxley Act of 2002.*
32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.**
32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.**
101.INS XBRL Instance Document. ***
101.SCH XBRL Schema Document.***
101.CAL XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document.***
101.DEF XBRL Definition Linkbase Document.***
101.LAB XBRL Label Linkbase Document.***
101.PRE XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document.***

* Filed herewith.
** The certifications attached as Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 accompany this Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not, except to the extent required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
*** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the interactive data files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.


## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DineEquity, Inc.
(Registrant)

Dated: July 30, 2013

By: $\frac{\text { /s/ Julia A. Stewart }}{\text { Julia A. Stewart }} \begin{gathered}\text { Chairman and Chief Executive Officer } \\ \text { (Principal Executive Officer) }\end{gathered}$

By: $\frac{\text { /s/ Thomas W. Emrey }}{\text { Thomas W. Emrey }} \begin{gathered}\text { Chief Financial Officer } \\ \text { (Principal Financial Officer) }\end{gathered}$

By: /s/ Greggory Kalvin
Greggory Kalvin
Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

## FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL INDENTURE

dated as of July 2, 2013
by and between
DineEquity, Inc.
and
Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee
9.5\% Senior Notes due 2018

THIS FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL INDENTURE (this "Supplemental Indenture"), is entered into as of July 2, 2013, by and between DineEquity, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Issuer"), and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (the "Trustee"). Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Indenture (as defined below).

## W I T N E S S E T H:

WHEREAS, the Issuer, the guarantors party thereto and the Trustee have heretofore executed and delivered the Indenture dated as of October 19, 2010 (the "Indenture") providing for the issuance by the Issuer of its $9.5 \%$ Senior Notes due 2018;

WHEREAS, Section 9.01 (8) of the Indenture provides that the Issuer and the Trustee may amend or supplement the Indenture without notice to or the consent of any Noteholder to conform the Indenture to the "Description of the Notes" in the Offering Memorandum, to the extent any such provision in the "Description of the Notes" was intended to be a verbatim recitation of a provision of the Indenture;

WHEREAS, the Issuer desires to amend the Indenture to conform clause (1) of the definition of "Applicable Premium" in Section 1.01 of the Indenture to clause (1) of the definition of "Applicable Premium" on page 112 of the "Description of the Notes" in the Offering Memorandum; and

WHEREAS, all other acts and proceedings required by law and the Indenture necessary to authorize the execution and delivery of this Supplemental Indenture and to make this Supplemental Indenture a valid and binding agreement for the purposes expressed herein, in accordance with its terms, have been complied with or have been duly done or performed.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and notwithstanding any provision of the Indenture which, absent this Supplemental Indenture, might operate to limit such action, the parties hereto, intending to be legally bound hereby, agree as follows:

SECTION 1. Amendment. Clause (1) of the definition of "Applicable Premium" in Section 1.01 of the Indenture is hereby amended and restated in its entirety as follows:
"(1) $1.0 \%$ of the principal amount of such Note; and"
SECTION 2. Reference to and Effect on the Indenture. This Supplemental Indenture shall be effective as of the date hereof. On and after the date hereof, each reference in the Indenture to "this Indenture," "hereunder," "hereof," or "herein" shall mean and be a reference to the Indenture as supplemented by this Supplemental Indenture unless the context otherwise requires. The Indenture, as supplemented by this Supplemental Indenture, shall be read, taken and construed as one and the same instrument. Except as specifically amended above, the Indenture shall remain in full force and effect and is hereby ratified and confirmed.

SECTION 3. Governing Law. This Supplemental Indenture shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of New York.

SECTION 4. Trust Indenture Act Controls. No modification of any provisions of the Indenture effected by this Supplemental Indenture is intended to eliminate or limit any provision of the Indenture that is required to be included therein by the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, as amended, as in force as of the effectiveness of this Supplemental Indenture.

SECTION 5. Trustee Disclaimer; Trust. The recitals contained in this Supplemental Indenture shall be taken as the statements of the Issuer and the Trustee assumes no responsibility for their correctness. The Trustee makes no representations as to the validity or sufficiency of this Supplemental Indenture. The Trustee accepts the trust created by the Indenture, as supplemented by this Supplemental Indenture, and agrees to perform the same upon the terms and conditions of the Indenture, as supplemented hereby.

SECTION 6. Counterparts. The parties may sign any number of copies of this Supplemental Indenture. Each signed copy shall be an original, but all of them together represent the same agreement. Delivery of an executed counterpart of this Supplemental Indenture by facsimile or electronic transmission shall be equally as effective as delivery of an original executed counterpart of this Supplemental Indenture. Any party delivering an executed counterpart of this Supplemental Indenture by facsimile or electronic transmission also shall deliver an original executed counterpart of this Supplemental Indenture, but the failure to deliver an original executed counterpart shall not affect the validity, enforceability and binding effect of this Supplemental Indenture.

SECTION 7. Headings. The headings of the sections of this Supplemental Indenture have been inserted for convenience of reference only, are not to be considered a part of this Supplemental Indenture and in no way modify or restrict any of the terms and provisions of this Supplemental Indenture.

SECTION 8. Separability. In case any provision in this Supplemental Indenture is invalid, illegal or unenforceable, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions will not in any way be affected or impaired thereby.
[Signature Pages Follow]

## SIGNATURES

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Supplemental Indenture to be duly executed as of the date first above written.

DINEEQUITY, INC., as Issuer

By: _/s/ Thomas W. Emrey
Name: Thomas W. Emrey
Title: Chief Financial Officer

WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, as Trustee

By: __/s/ Richard H. Prokosch
Name: Richard H. Prokosch
Title: Vice President

## DINEEQUITY, INC.

## Computation of Consolidated Leverage Ratio and Consolidated Cash Interest Coverage Ratio Trailing Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands, except ratios)

| Consolidated Leverage Ratio Calculation: | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Financial Covenant Debt ${ }^{(1)}$ | $1,348,351$ |
| Consolidated EBITDA ${ }^{(1)}$ | 280,443 |
| Consolidated Leverage Ratio | 4.8 |
| Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio Calculation: | $\$$ |
| Consolidated EBITDA ${ }^{(1)}$ | 280,443 |
| Consolidated Cash Interest Charges ${ }^{(1)}$ | 112,727 |
| Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio | 2.5 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Definitions of all components used in calculating the above ratios are found in the Credit Agreement, dated October 8, 2010, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2010.

## Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, As Amended

I, Julia A. Stewart, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of DineEquity, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

## Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, As Amended

I, Thomas W. Emrey, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of DineEquity, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and $I$ are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
/s/ Thomas W. Emrey
Thomas W. Emrey Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

## Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of DineEquity, Inc. (the "Company") for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 30, 2013 (the "Report"), Julia A. Stewart, as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of her knowledge, that:
(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.
Dated: July 30, 2013

| /s/ Julia A. Stewart |
| :--- |
| Julia A. Stewart |
| Chairman and Chief Executive Officer |
| (Principal Executive Officer) |

This certification accompanies the Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not, except to the extent required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. This certification shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act except to the extent the Company expressly and specifically incorporates it by reference in such filing.

## Certification Pursuant to <br> 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, <br> As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of DineEquity, Inc. (the "Company") for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 30, 2013 (the "Report"), Thomas W. Emrey, as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of his knowledge, that:
(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: July 30, 2013
/s/ Thomas W. Emrey
Thomas W. Emrey
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

This certification accompanies the Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not, except to the extent required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. This certification shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act except to the extent the Company expressly and specifically incorporates it by reference in such filing.


[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Supplemental condensed statements presented in millions may not foot/crossfoot due to rounding from Consolidated Statements presented in thousands.

[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Supplemental condensed statements presented in millions may not foot/crossfoot due to rounding from Consolidated Statements presented in thousands.

[^2]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Supplemental condensed statements presented in millions may not foot/crossfoot due to rounding from Consolidated Statements presented in thousands.

[^3]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Effective Franchise Restaurants are the weighted average number of franchise restaurants open in a given fiscal period, adjusted to account for franchise restaurants open for only a portion of the period.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above.

[^4]:     portion of the period.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above.

[^5]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Including write-off of the discount and deferred financing costs related to the debt retired.

